arXiv:1405.5107v1 [math.AP] 20 May 2014

Invariant measure for the Schrödinger equation on the real line

Federico Cacciafesta* and Anne-Sophie de Suzzoni†

May 21, 2014

Abstract

In this paper, we build a Gibbs measure for the cubic defocusing Schrödinger equation on the real line with a decreasing interaction potential, in the sense that the non linearity $|u|^2u$ is multiplied by a function χ which we assume integrable and smooth enough. We prove that this equation is globally well-posed in the support of this measure and that the measure is invariant under the flow of the equation. What is more, the support of the measure (the set of initial data) is disjoint from L^2.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**
1.1 Notations 4

2 Definitions and properties of measures **6**
2.1 Definition and approximation of the linear measure 6
2.2 Properties of μ 8
2.3 Invariance of μ under the linear flow 11
2.4 Definition and approximation of the non linear measure 13
2.5 Invariance of ρ_k under the finite dimensional non linear flow 15

3 Local analysis **16**
3.1 Local well-posedness 17
3.2 Local uniform convergence 18
3.3 local continuity 24

4 Global analysis **25**
4.1 Global well-posedness of approaching equations 25
4.2 Global continuity of approaching flows 26
4.3 Global uniform convergence 27

5 Conclusion : Invariance of ρ under $\psi(t)$ **29**
5.1 Measure of A 29
5.2 Invariance of the measure 30

*SAPIENZA - Universitá di Roma, Dipartimento di Matematica, Piazzale A. Moro 2, I-00185 Roma, Italy
†Université Paris 13, Sorbonne Paris Cité, LAGA, CNRS (UMR 7539), 99, avenue Jean-Baptiste Clément, F-93430 Villetaneuse, France

A Finite propagation speed **32**

1 Introduction

Our aim is to build an invariant measure for the cubic defocusing Schrödinger equation on the real line.

Such issue has been dealt with by Burq-Thomann-Tzvetkov in [4] when the equation presents a potential. The interest of using a well-chosen potential is that it traps the solution, in the sense that it forbids it to be too big, or even make 0 on some domain if the potential is infinite. In [4] , the equation is given by

$$i\partial_t u + \triangle u - Vu \pm |u|^2 u = 0 \tag{1}$$

with $V = |x|^2$. The operator $-\triangle + V$ admits a countable number of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions, which is a necessary condition to build an invariant with the following method. Namely, a function on $\mathbb{R}$ is considered as an element $(u_n)_n$ of $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ by writing

$$u = \sum_n u_n e_n$$

with $(e_n)_n$ the eigenfunctions of $-\triangle + V$. The linear or Gaussian part of the measure is then built as the measure μ induced by the random variable

$$\varphi = \sum_n \frac{g_n}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} e_n$$

where g_n are independent complex centred and normalised Gaussian variables. This measure can be interpreted as

$$d\mu\Big(\sum_n u_n e_n\Big) = \prod_n e^{-\lambda_n |u_n|^2} \frac{\lambda_n du_n d\overline{u_n}}{2\pi} = e^{-\int \overline{u}(-\triangle+V)u} \text{“}dL(u)\text{”} ,$$

that is, a measure with density $e^{-E_c(u)}$ with regard to the Lebesgue measure, would it exist on $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$, where $E_c(u) = \int \overline{u}(-\triangle + V)u$ is the kinetic energy of the equation (1). This interpretation acquires a meaning in finite dimension.

In the defocusing case $i\partial_t u + \triangle u - Vu - |u|^2 u = 0$, the invariant measure is

$$d\rho(u) = De^{-H_p(u)} d\mu(u)$$

where $H_p(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int |u|^4$ is the potential energy and $D = \|e^{-H_p(u)}\|^{-1}_{L^1_\mu}$ is a normalisation factor. Then, ρ can be interpreted as

$$d\rho(u) = e^{-H(u)} \text{“}dL(u)\text{”}$$

with $H(u) = H_c(u) + H_p(u)$ the total energy, invariant under the flow of this equation. This measure is supported in some Sobolev space H^s.

In this paper, we deal with the following equation

$$i\partial_t u + \triangle u - \chi|u|^2 u = 0 \tag{2}$$

where the potential of interaction χ is a non negative function smooth and integrable enough (we require $0 \leq \chi \lesssim \langle x\rangle^{-\alpha}$ and $|(1-\triangle)^{s_0/2}\chi| \lesssim \langle x\rangle^{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 1$ and $s_0 > 1/4$). The absence

of potential prevents us to use a decomposition of u in eigenfunctions of $-\triangle$. Nevertheless, we can use measures as in the work by McKean-Vaninsky [7] , such that the Gaussian part μ of the measure is the one induced by the random variable

$$\varphi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{inx} \frac{dW_n(\omega)}{\sqrt{1+n^2}}$$

where ω is the random event and W_n is a Brownian motion, which makes $\varphi(x)$ a Itô integral. Note that $1+n^2$ does not correspond to the spectrum of $-\triangle$ but of $1-\triangle$, which makes the interpretation of μ

$$d\mu(u) = e^{-\|u\|_{L^2}^2 - H_c(u)} \text{“}dL(u)\text{”}$$

with $H_c(u) = \int \overline{u}(-\triangle)u$ instead of $d\mu(u) = e^{-H_c(u)}\text{“}dL(u)\text{”}$. However, we use the invariance of the L^2 norm under the flow of (2) to prove the invariance of the final measure. The potential energy does not present any problem to build the invariance measure as $d\rho(u) = e^{-H_p(u)}d\mu(u)$.

The main difficulty with this measure is that it is supported in H^s_{loc}, $s < 1/2$ or in a weighted H^s. In the case of the Klein-Gordon equation, this problem has been solved in [5] using the finite propagation speed of the dispersion relation of this equation, $\omega(k) = \sqrt{1+k^2}$. Here, in the Schrödinger equation case, we cannot use such an argument as the dispersion relation is given by $\omega(k) = k^2$ and $\frac{d\omega}{dk} = 2k$, which goes to ∞ when k goes to ∞. However, we deal with this problem by applying smooth cut-offs, in the spirit of the work by Burq, Gérard and Tzvetkov in [3] . We refer the reader to Appendix A.

Another issue is that we need a topology defined on a space containing the support of the measure ρ (a weak enough topology) and for which at least the linear flow (the flow of $i\partial_t u - \triangle u = 0$) is continuous. We build this topology (we call it the topology of $X^{-1/2-}$) as the one induced by the family of norms $\|\cdot\|_{\sigma_n}$ defined as

$$\|f\|_{\sigma_n} = \|\langle t\rangle^{-2}\langle x\rangle^{-2} L(t)(1-\triangle)^{\sigma_n/2} f\|_{L^2_{t,x}}$$

with $\sigma_n = -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

The result is the following

Theorem 1. *There exists a measure ρ such that*

- *the support of ρ does not intersect L^2, that is $\rho(L^2) = 0$,*
- *the equation* (2) *is globally well-posed on the support of ρ, we call its flow $\psi(t)$,*
- *the measure ρ is invariant on the topological σ-algebra of $X^{-1/2-}$, that is, for all measurable set A and all time $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho(\psi(t)^{-1}A) = \rho(A)$.*

The proof can be sketched in the following way. We build finite dimensional spaces E_n such that the closure of $\bigcup_n E_n$ is $X^{-1/2-}$. We build measures ρ_n on E_n such that ρ_n converges weakly towards ρ. We introduce approaching equations whose flows are written $\psi_n(t)$ such that E_n is stable under $\psi_n(t)$ and ρ_n is invariant under $\psi_n(t)$. We prove that $\psi_n(t)$ is globally well-defined on the support of ρ. We prove that (2) is locally well-posed, and that $\psi_n(t)$ converges towards $\psi(t)$ locally in time. Then, we extend the local properties to global times. We deduce the invariance of the measure ρ under $\psi(t)$ using these convergences.

For the local analysis, we have to prove local well-posedness on periodic settings. Because of that, we heavily rely on Strichartz estimates on compact manifolds of the work of Burq-Gérard-Tzvetkov [3]. It provides a Strichartz estimate as on $\mathbb{R}^d$ but with loss of derivative.

To sum up, we stress on the facts that the main difference with [4] is the lack of a trapping potential, and the main difference with [5] is the absence of finite speed propagation.

What is more, we mention the paper by Bourgain, [2], which proves the weak convergence of Schrödinger equation on a periodic setting of period L towards a solution of the Schrödinger equation on $\mathbb{R}$ when L goes to ∞, provided that the initial data are taken in the support of specific measures. This problem is close to ours.

Organisation of the paper The next subsection sums up the notations and the assumption we make on the potential of interaction χ.

In Section 2, we define ρ_n, ρ, prove that $(\rho_n)_n$ converges weakly towards ρ, and discuss their supports. We also deal with the linear case $\chi = 0$, and prove finite dimensional invariance.

In Section 3, we prove all the local in time properties, like local well-posedness and local convergence of $\psi_n(t)$ towards $\psi(t)$, along with continuity of the flows. In this section, we do not use the measures.

In Section 4, we extend the local properties to global times on a certain set of initial data A.

In Section 5, we prove that A is of full measure and that the measure ρ is invariant under the flow of (2).

Acknowledgements The first author is supported by the FIRB 2012 "Dispersive dynamics, Fourier analysis and variational methods".

1.1 Notations

For the rest of the paper, we need a certain amount of notations that we fix here.

First of all, we need two real numbers $s_0 \in]1/4, 1/2[$ and $s_\infty \in]1/4, s_0[$. The regularity of the solutions will be some s taken between s_∞ and s_0.

We also need a real number $\alpha \in]1, \frac{3}{2}[$.

We fix some $p \in]4, \frac{1}{1/2-s_\infty}[$. By γ', we denote $1 - \frac{3}{p}$ and by γ, we denote $\frac{1}{\gamma'}$. The numbers α and p are needed in the definition of the norms used in the control of the initial data.

The norm $\|.\|_{X_*,Y_\circ}$ means

$$\|u\|_{X_*,Y_\circ} = \|* \mapsto \|\circ \mapsto u(x,\circ)\|_Y\|_X$$

where $*$ and $\circ$ may be replaced by time variables (t, τ), space variables (x), or random events (ω). The space $X_*, Y_\circ$ is the space of functions normed by $\|.\|_{X_*,Y_\circ}$.

We set D the operator $\sqrt{1-\triangle}$. What is more, we note $\langle x\rangle = \sqrt{1+x^2}$.

We work on the following spaces, with $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $T \leq 1$ and $s \in [s_\infty, s_0]$ and $R \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$:

$$Y_{t_0,T}(s,R) = C^\infty([t_0-T, t_0+T], H^s(R)) \cap L^p([t_0-T, t_0+T], L^\infty(R))$$

with $\|\cdot\|_{H^s(R)} = \|D^s.\|_{L^2([-R,R])}$ and $L^\infty(R) = L^\infty([-R,R])$.

By definition $Y_T(s,R) = Y_{0,T}(s,R)$ and $Y_T(s) = Y_T(s,+\infty)$. In particular, we will prove the local well-posedness of our equations in $Y_T(s,\cdot)$.

For all $s \leq s_0$, and all $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, we write $Z_{t_0}(s)$ the space corresponding to the norm

$$\|\cdot\|_{Z_{t_0}(s)} = \|\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha} D^s \cdot\|_{L^p([t_0-1,t_0+1],L^2(\mathbb{R}))} + \|\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha} \cdot\|_{L^p([t_0-1,t_0+1],L^\infty(\mathbb{R}))}$$

and by definition $Z(s) = Z_0(s)$.

We also introduce the space $Z'(s)$ associated to the norm

$$\|\cdot\|_{Z'(s)} = \|\langle t\rangle^{-2}\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha} D^s \cdot\|_{L^p_t,L^2_x} + \|\langle t\rangle^{-2}\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha}\cdot\|_{L^p_t,L^\infty_x} .$$

The number p has been chosen such that we have the following Strichartz estimate on the torus $N_k\mathbb{T}$, with f a $2\pi N_k$ periodic function belonging to H^s :

$$\|L(t)f\|_{Y_T(s,\pi N_k)} \leq C\|f\|_{H^s(\pi N_k)}$$

with a constant C independent from $T \leq 1$, k (because Strichartz estimates are scale invariant) and s (because it is chosen in a compact $[s_\infty, s_0]$). Thanks to a TT^* argument,

$$\|\int_0^t L(t-\tau)f(\tau)d\tau\|_{Y_T(s,\pi N_k)} \leq \int_0^t \|f(\tau)\|_{H^s(\pi N_k)} .$$

We also introduce the Fourier multiplier Π_k such that

$$\widehat{\Pi_k f}(n) = \eta\Big(\frac{n}{M_k}\Big)\hat{f}(n)$$

with η a non negative even C^∞ function with compact support included in $[-1,1]$ and such that $\eta = 1$ on $[-1/2,1/2]$ and M_k a sequence going to ∞. In the sequel, M_k will be k. We write $\eta_k(n) = \eta\Big(\frac{n}{M_k}\Big)$.

Thanks to the smoothness of η_k , we have

$$\|\Pi_k f\|_{L^p} \leq C_p\|f\|_{L^p}$$

for all p and all k, including $p = \infty$. The proof is essentially contained in [3], but in this particular case, a direct proof results from the smoothness of η and consequent estimates on its Fourier transform.

The operator Π_k is a smooth cutoff for the high frequencies. It allows us to consider the linear Schrödinger flow as one with finite propagation speed in the following sense. Let 1_R be function equal to 1 on $[-R,R]$ and 0 otherwise, we have for $T \geq |t|$,

$$\|1_R L(t)\Pi_k f\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|1_R L(t)\Pi_k(1_{R+3TM_k} f)\|_{L^p} + \frac{1}{TM_k^2}\sup_y \|f\|_{L^p([y-R,y+R])} .$$

Indeed, the Kernel of $L(t)\Pi_k$ is given by

$$K_t(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-in^2t}\eta(\frac{n}{M_k})e^{inz}dn$$

and if $|z| > 3TM_k$, then by a double integration by parts, we get

$$|K_t(z)| \leq C_\eta \frac{1}{M_k}\frac{1}{z^2}$$

and hence its L^1 norm where z is restricted as $|z| \geq 3M_k|t|$ is less than $C_\eta\frac{1}{TM_k^2}$. This proof is in Appendix A.

Finally, the map $\psi_k(t)$ is the flow of the equation

$$i\partial_t u + \triangle u - \Pi_k P_k(\chi|\Pi_k u|^2\Pi_k u) = 0 , \tag{3}$$

the map $\psi(t)$, the flow of

$$i\partial_t u + \triangle u - \chi|u|^2 u = 0 , \tag{4}$$

and

$$\psi_k'(t) = \psi_k(t) - L(t) , \ \psi'(t) = \psi(t) - L(t) . \tag{5}$$

Assumptions on χ We assume that for the $\alpha \in]1, \frac{3}{2}[$ and for the $s_0 \in]\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}[$ defined above, there exists C such that for all x,

$$\chi^{1/3}(x) \leq C\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha} \text{ and } |D^{s_0}\chi^{1/3}(x)| \leq C\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha} .$$

2 Definitions and properties of measures

In this section, we introduce the Gaussian measure along with its approximation on finite dimension. We gives properties of these measures and their supports. We prove the invariance of the Gaussian measure under the linear flow. Then, we define the invariant measure ρ and a sequence of approaching measures $(\rho_k)_k$ on finite dimension. We prove that this sequence converges weakly towards ρ and that ρ_k is invariant under the flow $\psi_k(t)$.

2.1 Definition and approximation of the linear measure

In this subsection, we define the random variable φ needed to build the measure μ invariant through the linear flow and precise into which spaces it belongs.

The random variable φ is defined as the limit of a sequence of random variables. Let us describe this sequence.

For the rest of this paper, we call $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ a probability space and $(W_n)_{n\in\mathbb{R}}$ the union of two complex Brownian motions with the same initial value.

The sequence, and random variable φ is the same as in [5].

Definition 2.1. Let $N, M \in \mathbb{N}^*$. We call $\varphi_{N,M}$ the random variable defined as :

$$\varphi_{N,M}(\omega, x) = \sum_{k=-NM}^{NM-1} \delta_{N,k}(\omega)\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{k^2}{N^2}}}e^{ikx/N}$$

where $\omega \in \Omega$ is an event of the probability space, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is the space variable and $\delta_{N,k} = W_{\frac{k+1}{N}} - W_{\frac{k}{N}}$.

Remark 2.1. *This random variable is a Gaussian vector. Indeed, $\varphi_{N,M}$ is entirely determined by $2NM$ Gaussian variables $a_{-NM}, \dots, a_{NM-1}$ with $a_k = \delta_{k,N}(1+\frac{k^2}{n^2})^{-1/2}$. The law of a_k is $\mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{N(1+k^2/N^2)})$ and the a_k are independent from each other. Hence they form a Gaussian vector whose law is given by the covariance matrix $M(N)$ such that*

$$M(N)_{i,j} = \mathbb{E}(\overline{a}_i a_j) = \beta_i^j \frac{1}{N(1+\frac{j^2}{N^2})}$$

where $\beta_i^j = 1$ if $i = j$ and 0 otherwise.

Its law is given by

$$(det\ M(N))^{-1/2} e^{-\langle a, M(N)^{-1}a\rangle} \prod_{k=-NM}^{NM-1} \frac{da_k d\overline{a}_k}{2\pi}$$

where

$$\langle a, M(N)^{-1}a\rangle = \sum_{k=-NR}^{NR-1} |a_k|^2 N\left(1+\frac{k^2}{N^2}\right)$$

can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi N}^{\pi N}\overline{v}(x)(1-\Delta)v(x)dx$$

with v given by

$$v(x)=\sum_{k=-MN}^{MN-1}a_k e^{ikx/N}\ .$$

Proposition 2.2. *Let $s<-1/2$. Let $L(t)$ be the flow of the linear Schrödinger equation, that is $i\partial_t u=-\triangle u$. The sequence $D^sL(t)\varphi_{2^n,M}$ converges in $\langle t\rangle\langle x\rangle L_t^\infty, L_x^\infty, L_\omega^2$ when n goes to ∞, uniformly in M. We call its limit $D^sL(t)\varphi_M$. In other words, for all $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $n_0\in\mathbb{N}$ such that for all M and all $n\geq n_0$,*

$$\|(\langle t\rangle\langle x\rangle)^{-1}\Big(D^sL(t)\varphi_M-D^sL(t)\varphi_{2^n,M}\Big)\|_{L_t^\infty,L_x^\infty,L_\Omega^2}\leq\varepsilon\ .$$

Proof. We follow the same argument as in [5] .

We take $n\geq m$. We have for all x,t,ω,M

$$D^sL(t)\varphi_{2^n,M}(x,\omega)-D^sL(t)\varphi_{2^m,M}(x,\omega)=\sum_{l=-2^mM}^{2^mM-1}\sum_{j=0}^{2^{n-m}-1}\delta_{2^n,2^{n-m}l+j}(\omega)\Big(f_{t,x,s}\Big(\frac{2^{n-m}l+j}{2^n}\Big)-f_{t,x,s}\Big(\frac{l}{2^m}\Big)\Big)$$

with

$$f_{t,x,s}(n)=\frac{e^{in^2t}e^{inx}}{(1+n^2)^{(1-s)/2}}\ .$$

This is due to the fact that

$$\delta_{2^m,l}=W_{\frac{l+1}{2^m}}-W_{\frac{l}{2^m}}=\sum_{j=0}^{2^{n-m}-1}W_{\frac{l}{2^m}+\frac{j+1}{2^n}}-W_{\frac{l}{2^m}+\frac{j}{2^n}}\ .$$

The derivative of $f_{t,x,s}$ is given by

$$f'_{t,x,s}(n)=e^{in^2t}e^{inx}\Big(\frac{2int+ix}{(1+n^2)^{(1-s)/2}}+\frac{n(s-1)}{(1+n^2)^{(3-s)/2}}\Big)$$

from which we deduce the bound

$$|f'_{x,t,s}(n)|\leq|s-1|(\langle t\rangle\langle x\rangle)(1+n^2)^{s/2}\ .$$

By taking the L_ω^2 norm to the square of the functions we compare, we get

$$\|D^sL(t)\varphi_{2^n,M}(x,\omega)-D^sL(t)\varphi_{2^m,M}(x,\omega)\|_{L_\omega^2}^2\leq 2|s-1|^2(\langle t\rangle\langle x\rangle)^2\sum_{l=-2^mM}^{2^mM-1}\Big(1+\frac{l^2}{2^{2m}}\Big)^s\sum_{j=0}^{2^{n-m}-1}\frac{1}{2^n}\frac{j^2}{2^{2n}}\ .$$

This is due to the independence of the $\delta_{2^n,2^{n-m}l+j}$.

We remark that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2^{n-m}-1}\frac{1}{2^n}\frac{j^2}{2^{2n}}\leq 2^{-3m}$$

thus, since

$$\frac{1}{2^m}\sum_{l=-2^mM}^{2^mM-1}\Big(1+\frac{l^2}{2^{2m}}\Big)^s\leq 2\int_{\mathbb{R}}(1+y^2)^s dy\ ,$$

we get

$$\|D^s L(t)\varphi_{2^n,M}(x,\omega) - D^s L(t)\varphi_{2^m,M}(x,\omega)\|_{L^2_\omega}^2 \leq 4\cdot 2^{-2m}|s-1|^2(\langle t\rangle\langle x\rangle)^2 \int (1+y^2)^s dy\ .$$

The integral converges since $s < -1/2$. Finally by dividing by $\langle t\rangle\langle x\rangle$ and taking the L^∞_t, L^∞_x norm, we get

$$\|(\langle t\rangle\langle x\rangle)^{-1}\Big(D^s L(t)\varphi_{2^n,M}(x,\omega) - D^s L(t)\varphi_{2^m,M}(x,\omega)\Big)\|_{L^\infty_t, L^\infty_x, L^2_\Omega} \leq C_s 2^{-m}$$

which concludes the proof. □

Proposition 2.3. *The sequence $(D^s L(t)\varphi_M)_M$ converges in $\langle t\rangle\langle x\rangle\langle t\rangle\langle x\rangle L^\infty_t, L^\infty_x, L^2_\omega$. We call its limit $D^s L(t)\varphi$.*

Proof. We refer to [5]. □

Definition 2.4. We call $\phi_k = \varphi_{2^k,k}$. The sequence $(\phi_k)_k$ converges towards φ in the norm $\|(\langle x\rangle\langle t\rangle))^{-1} D^s L(t)\cdot\|_{L^\infty_t, L^\infty_x, L^2_\Omega}$ for $s < -1/2$.

Remark 2.2. *In the rest of the paper, we call $M_k = k$ and $N_k = 2^k$. We use these notations only when M_k refers to the cutoff in frequency and N_k the period of some functions.*

2.2 Properties of μ

We precise the spaces to which φ belongs.

Proposition 2.5. *For all $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $s < 1/2$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $L(t)\varphi$ belongs to $L^p_\omega, W^{s,p}_{loc,x}$ and for all $\xi \in L^p_x$, $\xi L(t)\varphi$ belongs to L^p_ω, L^p_x. We also have that for all $1 \leq q < \infty$, $\xi L(\tau)\varphi$ belongs to $L^q_{loc,\tau}(\mathbb{R}, L^p_x)$ which we write as $L^q_{loc,\tau}, L^p_x$ and $L(\tau)\varphi$ belongs to $L^q_{loc,\tau}, L^p_{loc,x}$.*

Proposition 2.6. *For $\mathbb{P}$-almost every $\omega \in \Omega$, $\varphi(\omega)$ does not belong to L^2_x.*

Proof. We refer to [5] for the proofs of those two propositions. Indeed, the proofs only rely on the fact that $L(t)$ is a Fourier multiplier $\widehat{L(t)f}(n) = \alpha_t(n)\widehat{f}(n)$ with $|\alpha_t(n)| = 1$. □

Remark 2.3. *We have that for all k the L^r_ω, L^p_x of $G\phi_k$ is bounded independently from k for all G in L^p. This is how we get Proposition 2.5.*

Remark 2.4. *As φ and ϕ_k are Gaussian variables, we have that if N is a norm on functions and if $N(\varphi)$ (resp. $N(\phi_k)$) is $\mathbb{P}$-almost surely finite then there exist C and a depending continuously in $\|N(\varphi)\|_{L^2_\omega}$ (resp. $\|N(\phi_k)\|_{L^2_\omega}$) such that*

$$\mathbb{P}(N(\varphi) \geq \Lambda) \leq Ce^{-a\Lambda^2}\ ,\ \mathbb{P}(N(\phi_k) \geq \Lambda) \leq Ce^{-a\Lambda^2}\ .$$

In particular, with $s \in [s_\infty, s_0]$ and $N = \|\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha} D^s \cdot\|_{L^2}$, a is bounded from below independently from s and C is bounded independently from s too. This is a part of Fernique's theorem, [6] .

Definition 2.7. We call μ the measure induced by φ and μ_k the one induced by ϕ_k. The σ-algebras on which μ is defined are the same as the one of the spaces to which φ belongs.

Remark 2.5. *The sequence μ_k converges weakly towards μ in the topological σ- algebra of $\|\langle t\rangle^{-1}\langle x\rangle^{-1} D^s L(t)\cdot\|_{L^2_{t,x}}$. But μ is defined for bigger σ algebras as the one of $\|\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha} D^{s_0}\cdot\|_{L^2}$ or $\|\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha}\cdot\|_{L^\infty}$.*

Proposition 2.8. *Let $p \geq 1$, $\alpha > 1$ and $\beta > 1/p$. The random variable $\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha}\langle t\rangle^{-\beta}L(t)\varphi$ belongs almost surely to L^p_t, L^∞_x.*

Proof. We divide $\mathbb{R}$ as the union of the $[n, n+1]$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha}L(t)\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} &\leq \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \|\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha}L(t)\varphi\|_{L^\infty([n,n+1])} \quad (6)\\ &\lesssim \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \langle n\rangle^{-\alpha}\|L(t)\varphi\|_{L^\infty([n,n+1])} \quad (7)\end{aligned}$$

Let ξ a C^∞ function such that $\xi = 1$ on $[0,1]$ and $\xi = 0$ on the complementary set of $]-1,2[$. Let $\xi_n(x) = \xi(x-n)$, we have

$$\|\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha}L(t)\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \langle n\rangle^{-\alpha}\|\xi_n L(t)\varphi\|_{L^\infty([n-1,n+2])} \ .$$

We use Sobolev embedding with $s < 1/2$ and $q > 1/s$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi_n L(t)\varphi\|_{L^\infty([n-1,n+2])} &\lesssim \|\xi_n L(t)\varphi\|_{W^{s,q}([n-1,n+2])}\\ &\lesssim \|D^s\xi_n L(t)\varphi\|_{L^q([n-1,n+2])} \ .\end{aligned}$$

Taking the L^r_ω norm (in probability) of this quantity with $r = \max(q,p)$, we get thanks to Minkowski inequality

$$\|\xi_n L(t)\varphi\|_{L^r_\omega, L^\infty([n-1,n+2])} \leq \|D^s\xi_n L(t)\varphi\|_{L^q([n-1,n+2]),L^r_\omega} \ .$$

By definition of φ, we have that $L(t)\varphi$ is the Itô integral

$$L(t)\varphi = \int \langle m\rangle^{-1} e^{im^2 t}e^{imx}dW_m$$

hence, by multiplying it by ξ_n and applying D^s we get

$$D^s\xi_n L(t)\varphi = \int \langle m\rangle^{-1} e^{im^2 t}D^s(\xi_n e^{imx})dW_m$$

and its L^r_ω norm is bounded by

$$\|D^s\xi_n L(t)\varphi\|_{L^r_\omega} \leq C\sqrt{r}\Big(\int \langle m\rangle^{-2}|D^s(\xi_n e^{imx})|^2 dm\Big)^{1/2} \ .$$

Taking its L^q norm gives

$$\|D^s\xi_n L(t)\varphi\|_{L^q,L^r_\omega} \leq C\sqrt{r}\Big(\int \langle m\rangle^{-2}\|D^s(\xi_n e^{imx})\|^2_{L^q} dm\Big)^{1/2} \ .$$

In order to evaluate $\|D^s(\xi_n e^{imx})\|_{L^q}$, we consider $\xi_n e^{imx}$ as a 3-periodic function. We write

$$\xi_n(x)e^{imx} = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \alpha_k e^{i2\pi kx/3}3^{-1/2}$$

with

$$\alpha_k = \int_{n-1}^{n+1} \xi_n(x)e^{imx}e^{-i2\pi kx/3}dx \ .$$

Let $k_0(m)$ be the unique $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|m - 2\pi k/3| < \frac{\pi}{3}$. We have

$$|\alpha_{k_0(m)}| \leq 3\|\xi\|_{L^\infty}$$

and for $k \neq k_0(m)$, thanks to a double integration by parts,

$$|\alpha_k| \leq \frac{3\|\xi"\|_{L^\infty}}{(m - 2\pi k/3)^2} \leq \frac{6\|\xi"\|_{L^\infty}}{\langle m - 2\pi k/3\rangle^2} \ .$$

We write $D^s \xi_n e^{imx}$ as

$$\sum_k \langle 2\pi k/3\rangle^s \alpha_k e^{2i\pi kx/3}$$

and take its L^q norm to get

$$\|D^s(\xi_n e^{imx})\|_{L^q} \leq \sum_k \langle 2\pi k/3\rangle^s |\alpha_k| 3^{1/p} \ .$$

By inputting the estimate on α_k, we get

$$\|D^s(\xi_n e^{imx})\|_{L^q} \leq C(\langle \frac{2\pi k_0(m)}{3}\rangle^s + \sum_k \langle \frac{2\pi k}{3}\rangle^s (\langle \frac{2\pi k}{3} - m\rangle^{-2})$$

with a constant C depending on ξ. Since by definition of $k_0(m)$, we have $\langle \frac{2\pi k_0(m)}{3}\rangle^s \leq C_s \langle m\rangle^s$, and because $s \geq 0$, we have $\langle \frac{2\pi k}{3}\rangle^s \leq C_s \langle \frac{2\pi k}{3} - m\rangle^s + \langle m\rangle^s$, we have

$$\|D^s(\xi_n e^{imx})\|_{L^q} \leq C\langle m\rangle^s$$

with C depending on s and ξ.

Finally, we get

$$\|D^s \xi_n L(t)\varphi\|_{L^q([n-1,n+2],L^r_\omega)} \leq C\Big(\int \langle m\rangle^{2s-2} dm\Big)^{-1/2} \ .$$

with C depending on s and ξ and the integral converges as $s < 1/2$. By inputting this estimate in (6) after taking the L^r_ω norm, we get

$$\|\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha} L(t)\varphi\|_{L^r_\omega, L^\infty} \lesssim \sum_n \langle n\rangle^{-\alpha}$$

and the sum converges since $\alpha > 1$. We have, as $r \geq p$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\langle t\rangle^{-\beta}\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha} L(t)\varphi\|_{L^r_\omega, L^p_t, L^\infty_x} &\leq \|\langle t\rangle^{-\beta}\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha} L(t)\varphi\|_{L^p_t, L^r_\omega, L^\infty_x} \\ &\lesssim \|\langle t\rangle^{-\beta}\|_{L^p} \end{aligned}$$

and this norm is finite since $\beta > 1/p$. Therefore, the $L^r_\omega, L^p_t, L^\infty_x$ of $\langle t\rangle^{-\beta}\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha} L(t)\varphi$ is finite which means that the L^p_t, L^∞_x norm of $\langle t\rangle^{-\beta}\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha} L(t)\varphi$ is almost surely finite and concludes the proof. □

Corollary 2.9. *For all $p \geq 1$, all $\alpha > 1$ and all $\beta > 1/p$, there exists $C, c > 0$ such that for all Λ*

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\|\langle t\rangle^{-\beta}\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha} L(t)\varphi\|_{L^p_t, L^\infty_x} > \Lambda\Big) \leq Ce^{-c\Lambda^2} \ .$$

Proof. The proof is a consequence of Fernique's theorem, [6]. □

2.3 Invariance of μ under the linear flow

We introduce the family of norms

$$p_s(f) : f \to \left\| \langle t \rangle^{-2} \langle x \rangle^{-2} D^s L(t) f(x) \right\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2}, \qquad s \in \mathcal{A} := \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{l} : l \in \mathbb{N}^* \right\}. \tag{8}$$

Since this family is countable ($\mathcal{A}$ is countable), the metric d given by

$$d(u,v) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}^*} 2^{-l} \frac{p_{1/2-1/l}(u-v)}{1 + p_{1/2-1/l}(u-v)} \tag{9}$$

is equivalent to the topology induced by the norms $p_{q,s}$. We call $X^{-1/2-}$ the functional space equipped with that metric.

Approximation of μ and invariance under the linear flow We here mean to show the invariance of the measures μ_k and μ under the linear flow.

Definition 2.10. We denote with μ^t (resp. μ_k^t) the image measures of μ (resp. μ_k) under the flow $L(t)$, that is for all measurable set $A \subset X^{-1/2-}$

$$\mu^t(A) = \mu(L(t)^{-1}A)$$

$$\mu_k^t(A) = \mu_k(L(t)^{-1}A).$$

We now prove the invariance of these measures under the linear flow.

Theorem 2.11. *The measures μ_k and μ are invariant under the flow $L(t)$.*

Proof. We begin with the approximating measures μ_k. The random variable ϕ_k can be seen as a Gaussian vector $(x_1, ..., x_{N_k})$ where $x_1, ..., x_{N_k}$ are independent and $\mathbb{E}(x_i) = 0$ (see Remark 0.1). Applying $L(t)$ to it yields

$$\left(e^{i\alpha_1 t} x_1, ..., e^{i\alpha_{N_k} t} x_{N_k} \right)$$

with $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$. The Gaussian variable $e^{i\alpha_j t} x_j$ has the same law of x_j and is independent from all the other x_i, hence the law of ϕ_k is the same as the law of $L(t)\phi_k$. In other words, the measure μ_k is invariant under $L(t)$.

We now turn to μ: we therefore need to show that for every measurable set $A \subset X^{-1/2-}$, $\mu(L(t)^{-1}A) = \mu(A)$. We need two preliminary results: first of all we show the following approximating property.

Lemma 2.12. *For all open set $U \subset X^{-1/2-}$ and closed set $F \subset X^{-1/2-}$ we have*

$$\mu(U) \leq \liminf_{k \to +\infty} \mu_k(U), \qquad \mu(F) \geq \limsup_{k \to +\infty} \mu_k(F). \tag{10}$$

Proof. This consists in the weak convergence of the measures μ_k towards μ. To prove the weak convergence, it is enough to show that

$$\mathbb{E}(p_{1/2-1/l}(\varphi - \phi_k)) \underset{k \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

In fact we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(p_{1/2-1/l}(\varphi-\phi_k)) &\leq \|p_{1/2-1/l}(\varphi-\phi_k)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\\ &\leq \left\|\langle\tau\rangle^{-2}\langle x\rangle^{-2}D^sL(\tau)(\varphi-\phi_k)\right\|_{L^2_\tau L^2_x L^2(\Omega)}\\ &\leq \|D^sL(\tau)(\varphi-\phi_k)\|_{L^\infty_\tau L^\infty_x L^2(\Omega)}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $D^sL(t)\phi_k$ converges towards $D^sL(t)\varphi$ in $\langle x\rangle\langle t\rangle L^\infty_t L^\infty_x L^2_\omega$ and thus in $\langle x\rangle^2\langle t\rangle^2 L^\infty_t L^\infty_x L^2_\omega$, the proof is concluded. □

Then we need the continuity of the linear flow on $X^{-1/2-}$.

Lemma 2.13. *The flow $L(t)$ is continuous on $X^{1/2-}$.*

Proof. We prove that for every for every $s \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists a constant $C = C(t)$ such that

$$p_s(L(t)f) \leq C(t)p_s(f). \tag{11}$$

By definition (8) and the change of variable $\tau \to \tau - t$ we have, recalling that $\langle\tau\rangle \leq \langle t\rangle\langle\tau - t\rangle$,

$$\begin{aligned}p_s(L(t)f) &= \left(\int\int\langle\tau\rangle^{-4}\langle x\rangle^{-4}|D^sL(t+\tau)f(x)|^2d\tau dx\right)^{1/2}\\ &= \left(\int\int\langle\tau-t\rangle^{-4}\langle x\rangle^{-4}|D^sL(\tau)f(x)|^2d\tau dx\right)^{1/2}\\ &\leq \left(\int\int\left(\frac{\langle t\rangle}{\langle\tau\rangle}\right)^4\langle x\rangle^{-4}|D^sL(\tau)f(x)|^2d\tau dx\right)^{1/2}\\ &\leq C(t)p_s(f)\end{aligned}$$

and the proof is concluded.

□

We now return to the proof of Theorem 2.11; the argument will now follow closely some previous works such as [1, 8], anyway, we include it here for the seek of completeness.

Let $K \subset X^{-1/2-}$ be a closed set, and

$$K_\epsilon := \{u \in X^{-1/2-} : \exists v \in K : d(u,v) < \epsilon\}$$

where the distance d is given in (9). Since $K_\epsilon \subset X^{-1/2-}$ is open and $L(t)$ is continuous in $X^{-1/2-}$ (see Lemma 2.13), the set $L(t)^{-1}K_\epsilon$ is open, too. Lemma 2.12 then implies

$$\mu^t(K) \leq \mu^t(K_\epsilon) \leq \liminf_{k\to\infty}\mu^t_k(K_\epsilon).$$

Using the first part of the Theorem (invariance of μ_k) then yields

$$\mu^t(K) \leq \liminf_{k\to\infty}\mu_k(K_\epsilon) \leq \limsup_{k\to+\infty}\mu_k(\overline{K_\epsilon}),$$

where $\overline{K_\epsilon}$ is the closure of K_ϵ. Lemma 2.12 implies again ($\overline{K_\epsilon}$ is closed)

$$\mu^t(K) \leq \mu(\overline{K_\epsilon})$$

which in turns implies

$$\mu^t(K) \leq \inf_{\epsilon>0} \mu(\overline{K_\epsilon}).$$

The dominated convergence theorem for $\epsilon \to 0$ then implies

$$\mu^t(K) \leq \mu(K).$$

Since now $L(t)$ is continuous on $X^{-1/2-}$, we use reversibility of the flow to conclude that $L(t)^{-1}(K)$ is closed and hence

$$\mu(K) = \mu^{-t}(L(t)^{-1}K) \leq \mu(L(t)^{-1}K) = \mu^t(K).$$

For all closed sets K we have therefore proved that $\mu^t(K) = \mu(K)$; since closed sets in $X^{-1/2-}$ generate its topological σ-algebra, the proof is concluded. □

2.4 Definition and approximation of the non linear measure

In this subsection, we define the invariant measure ρ, the approaching sequence $(\rho_k)_k$ and prove that the sequence converges weakly towards ρ.

Definition 2.14. We set

$$f_k(u) = e^{-\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi N_k}^{\pi N_k} \chi |\Pi_k u|^4} \ , \ f(u) = e^{-\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi |u|^4}$$

and we define the measures ρ and ρ_k as

$$d\rho_k(u) = \frac{1}{J_k} f_k(u) d\mu_k(u) \ , \ d\rho(u) = \frac{1}{J} f(u) d\mu(u)$$

with $J_k = \|f_k\|_{L^1_{\mu_k}}$ and $J = \|f\|_{L^1_\mu}$.

The rest of this subsection is dedicated to the proof of the weak convergence of ρ_k towards ρ in the topological σ-algebra of $X^{-1/2-}$.

Lemma 2.15. *For all k and all j, we have*

$$\|\chi^{1/2}(1-\Pi_k)\varphi\|_{L^2_\omega, L^2_x} \lesssim \|\chi\|_{L^1}^{1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_k}} \ , \ \|\chi^{1/2}(1-\Pi_k)\phi_j\|_{L^2_\omega, L^2_x} \lesssim \|\chi\|_{L^1}^{1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_k}} \ .$$

Proof. For φ, we have

$$\|\chi^{1/2}(1-\Pi_k)\varphi\|_{L^2_\omega, L^2_x} \leq \|\chi\|_{L^1}^{1/2} \|(1-\Pi_k)\varphi\|_{L^\infty_x, L^2_\omega} \ .$$

As $(1-\Pi_k)\varphi$ is given by

$$(1-\Pi_k)\varphi(x) = \int (1-\eta_k)(n) \frac{e^{inx}}{\sqrt{1+n^2}} dW_n(\omega)$$

we have

$$\|(1-\Pi_k)\varphi(x)\|^2_{L^2_\omega} = \int |(1-\eta_k)(n)|^2 \frac{1}{1+n^2} dn$$

and given the definition of η_k

$$\|(1-\Pi_k)\varphi(x)\|^2_{L^2_\omega} \lesssim \frac{1}{M_k} \ .$$

For ϕ_j, we use the same argument with a sum instead of an integral

$$(1-\Pi_k)\phi_j(x) = \sum_{l=-N_jM_j}^{N_jM_j-1} (1-\eta_k(j/N_j))\frac{e^{ijx/N_j}}{\sqrt{1+(j/N_j)^2}}\delta_{N_j,l}$$

hence

$$\|(1-\Pi_k)\phi_j(x)\|_{L^2_\omega} = \sum_{l=-N_jM_j}^{N_jM_j-1} |(1-\eta_k(j/N_j))|^2 \frac{1}{1+(j/N_j)^2}\frac{1}{N_j} \lesssim \frac{1}{M_k}\ .$$

□

Lemma 2.16. *The sequence $\|\chi^{1/2}(\varphi-\phi_k)\|_{L^2_\omega,L^2_x}$ goes to 0 when k goes to ∞.*

Remark 2.6. *The loss of regularity in the convergence in d is due to the evolution in time.*

Proof. Due to [5], we know that ϕ_k converges to φ in $\langle x\rangle L^\infty_x, L^2$. We have

$$\|\chi^{1/2}(\varphi-\phi_k)\|_{L^2_\omega,L^2_x} \leq \|\langle x\rangle\chi^{1/2}\|_{L^2_x}\|\langle x\rangle^{-1}(\varphi-\phi_k)\|_{L^\infty,L^2_\omega}\ .$$

As χ is less than $\langle x\rangle^{-3\alpha}$ with $\alpha > 1$, we have that $\langle x\rangle\chi^{1/2}$ is less than $\langle x\rangle^{-(3\alpha/2-1)}$, and since $3\alpha/2-1 > 1/2$, $\langle x\rangle\chi^{1/2}$ belongs to L^2. □

Lemma 2.17. *The sequences $\|f-f_k\|_{L^1_{\mu_k}}$ and $\|f-f_k\|_{L^1_\mu}$ go to 0 when k goes to ∞.*

Proof. We use that

$$\left|f(u)-f_k(u)\right| \leq \int_{|x|\geq\pi N_k} \chi|u|^4 + \|\chi^{1/2}(u-\Pi_k u)\|_{L^2_x}(\|\chi^{1/2}|u|^3\|_{L^2_x} + \|\chi^{1/2}|\Pi_k u|^3\|_{L^2_x})\ .$$

For the first term, we use Hölder inequality,

$$\int_{|x|\geq\pi N_k} \chi|u|^4 \leq \|\chi^{1/2}\|_{L^2(|x|\geq\pi N_k)}\|\chi^{1/2}|u|^4\|_{L^2_x}$$

and the fact that

$$\|\chi^{1/2}|u|^4\|_{L^1_{\mu_k},L^2_x}$$

is finite and bounded uniformly in k. The sequence $\|\chi^{1/2}\|_{L^2(|x|\geq\pi N_k)}$ goes to 0 when k goes to ∞.

For the second one, we use that

$$(\|\chi^{1/2}|u|^3\|_{L^2_{\mu_k},L^2_x} + \|\chi^{1/2}|\Pi_k u|^3\|_{L^2_{\mu_k},L^2_x})$$

is finite and uniformly bounded in k, that

$$(\|\chi^{1/2}|u|^3\|_{L^2_{\mu},L^2_x} + \|\chi^{1/2}|\Pi_k u|^3\|_{L^2_{\mu},L^2_x})$$

satisfies the same properties and that

$$\|\chi^{1/2}(u-\Pi_k u)\|_{L^2_{\mu_k},L^2_x}\ ,\ \|\chi^{1/2}(u-\Pi_k u)\|_{L^2_{\mu},L^2_x}$$

converge towards 0 thanks to Lemma 2.15. □

Lemma 2.18. *The sequence $f \circ \phi_k$ converges towards $f \circ \varphi$ in L^1_ω.*

Proof. This is due to the fact that

$$|f(u) - f(v)| \leq \|\chi^{1/2}(u-v)\|_{L^2_x}(\|\chi^{1/2}|u|^3\|_{L^2_x} + \|\chi^{1/2}|v|^3\|_{L^2_x}) ,$$

that $\|\chi^{1/2}|\varphi|^3\|_{L^2_\omega,L^2_x} + \|\chi^{1/2}|\phi_k|^3\|_{L^2_\omega,L^2_x}$ is uniformly bounded in k and Lemma 2.16. □

Proposition 2.19. *The sequence ρ_k converges weakly towards ρ.*

Proof. Let F be a bounded Lipschitz continuous function on $X^{-1/2-}$. We have

$$\Big|\int F(u)d\rho(u) - \int F(u)d\rho_k(u)\Big| \leq I + II + III$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \Big|\int \frac{f(\varphi)}{J}F(\varphi)d\mathbb{P} - \int \frac{f(\varphi)}{J}F(\phi_k)d\mathbb{P}\Big| \\ II &= \Big|\int \frac{f(\varphi)}{J}F(\phi_k)d\mathbb{P} - \int \frac{f(\phi_k)}{J}F(\phi_k)d\mathbb{P}\Big| \\ III &= \Big|\int \frac{f(\phi_k)}{J}F(\phi_k)d\mathbb{P} - \int \frac{f_k(\phi_k)}{J_k}F(\phi_k)d\mathbb{P}\Big| . \end{aligned}$$

For I, we use that f is bounded, F is Lipschitz-continuous and that $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(d(\varphi,\phi_k))$ converges towards 0 as was proved in Subsection 2.1 since $\|\cdot\|_{L^1_\omega} \leq \|\cdot\|_{L^2_\omega}$.

For II, we use that F is bounded and Lemma 2.18.

For III, we use Lemmas 2.15 2.16 to prove that $J_k \to J$, that F is bounded and that $\|f - f_k\|_{L^1_{\mu_k}} \to 0$. □

2.5 Invariance of ρ_k under the finite dimensional non linear flow

In this subsection, we prove that the flow $\psi_k(t)$ is Hamiltonian on E_k and that ρ_k is invariant under $\psi_k(t)$.

Lemma 2.20. *The flow $\psi_k(t)$ is a a flow of a Hamiltonian equation on E_k with Hamiltonian*

$$H_k = \frac{1}{2\pi}\Big(- \int_{-\pi N_k}^{\pi N_k} \overline{u} \triangle u + \frac{1}{2}\int_{-\pi N_k}^{\pi N_k} \chi|\Pi_k u|^4\Big) .$$

Proof. Let

$$H_c(u) = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi N_k}^{\pi N_k} \overline{u} \triangle u = N_k \sum_j |u_j|^2 \frac{j^2}{N_k^2} .$$

We have

$$\frac{dH_c(u)}{du_j} = N_k \frac{j^2}{N_k^2}\overline{u_j} .$$

Let

$$H_p(u) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int (P_k\chi)|\Pi_k u|^4 = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j_1+\ldots+j_5=0} (P_k\chi)_{j_1}(\overline{\Pi_k u})_{j_2}(\overline{\Pi_k u})_{j_3}(\Pi_k u)_{j_4}(\Pi_k u)_{j_5} .$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dH_p(u)}{du_j} &= N_k \sum_{j_1+\ldots+j_4=-j} (P_k\chi)_{j_1}(\overline{\Pi_k u})_{j_2}(\overline{\Pi_k u})_{j_3}(\Pi_k u)_{j_4} \\ &= N_k\Big(P_k\chi|\Pi_k u|^2\overline{\Pi_k u}\Big)_{-j} \\ &= N_k\overline{(P_k\chi|\Pi_k u|^2\Pi_k u)_j}\ .\end{aligned}$$

By summing these two identities, we get

$$\frac{dH_k(u)}{du_j} = N_k\overline{(i\partial_t u)_j} = -iN_k\overline{\dot{u}_j}$$

which gives

$$\partial_t u = J\,\nabla_u\, H_k(u)$$

with $J = \frac{i}{N_k}$. □

Lemma 2.21. *The L^2 norm is invariant under $\psi_k(t)$.*

Proof. The proof follows from the differentiation of

$$\frac{1}{2}\int |u|^2$$

which gives

$$\partial_t \frac{1}{2}\int |u|^2 = \mathrm{Re}\Big(-i\int \overline{u}(\triangle u - (P_k\chi)|\Pi_k u|^2\Pi_k u)\Big) = 0\ .$$

□

Proposition 2.22. *The measure ρ_k is invariant under the flow $\psi_k(t)$.*

Proof. The measure ρ_k is such that

$$d\rho_k(u) = d_k e^{-\frac{1}{2\pi}\int |u|^2 - H_k(u)} dL_k(u)\ .$$

The Lebesgue measure L_k is invariant under the flows of Hamiltonian equations, and the L^2 norm and H_k are invariant under $\psi_k(t)$. Hence ρ_k is invariant under $\psi_k(t)$. □

3 Local analysis

In this section, we prove all the local in time properties of the flows $\psi(t)$ and $\psi_k(t)$ that we extend in the next section to prove the invariance of the measure. We prove local well-posedness, local uniform convergence of $\psi_k(t)$ towards $\psi(t)$, and local continuity.

3.1 Local well-posedness

In this subsection, we prove the local well-posedness of (4) and (3) with a time of existence independent from the regularity $s \in [s_\infty, s_0]$ in which we solve the Cauchy problem and independent from k, the index of the approaching sequence.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. There exists C such that for all $s \in [s_\infty, s_0]$, all $\Lambda \geq 1$, all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, all u_0 such that*

$$\|L(t)u_0\|_{Z_{t_0}(s)} \leq \Lambda$$

and all $v_0 \in E_k$ such that $\|v_0\|_{H^s(\pi N_k)} \leq \Lambda$, the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t v + \triangle v - \Pi_k P_k\Big(\chi|\Pi_k(L(t)u_0 + v)|^2(\Pi_k(L(t)u_0 + v))\Big) = 0 \\ v_{|t=t_0} = v_0 \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution in $Y_{t_0,T}(s, \pi N_k) \cap E_k$ with $T = \frac{1}{C\Lambda^{2\gamma}}$ and

$$\|v\|_{Y_{t_0,T}(s,\pi N_k)} \leq C\Lambda \ .$$

Proof. Following the proof of [3], we rely on Strichartz estimates to prove that the map

$$A(v)(t) = L(t - t_0)v_0 + i\int_{t_0}^{t} L(t-\tau)\Pi_k P_k\Big(\chi|\Pi_k(L(\tau)u_0 + v(\tau))|^2(\Pi_k(L(\tau)u_0 + v(\tau)))\Big)$$

is contracting over some closed set. The solution v is the fixed point of A.

Thanks to Strichartz estimates, we have

$$\|A(v)\|_{Y_{t_0,T}(s,\pi N_k)} \leq C\|v_0\|_{H^s(\pi N_k)} + \int_{t_0}^{t} \Big\|\Pi_k P_k\Big(\chi|\Pi_k(L(\tau)u_0 + v(\tau))|^2(\Pi_k(L(\tau)u_0 + v(\tau)))\Big)\Big\|_{H^s(\pi N_k)} \ .$$

We have that

$$\Big\|\Pi_k P_k\Big(\chi|L(\tau)u_0 + v(\tau)|^2(L(\tau)u_0 + v(\tau))\Big)\Big\|_{H^s(\pi N_k)} \leq \\ \|\chi|\Pi_k L(\tau)u_0|^2\Pi_k L(t)u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})} + \|(P_k\chi)|\Pi_k v|^2\Pi_k v\|_{H^s(\pi N_k)}.$$

We have by definition $\chi \in L^\infty \cap H^s$ and

$$\||\Pi_k f|^2\Pi_k f\|_{H^s} \leq C(\|\Pi_k f\|_{H^s} + \|\Pi_k f\|_{L^\infty})^3 \ .$$

Besides, Π_k is a smooth cutoff, hence $\|\Pi_k f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|f\|_{L^\infty}$. What is more, by definition of χ, $\chi^{1/3} \lesssim \langle x\rangle^{-\alpha}$ and $|D^{s_0}\chi^{1/3}| \lesssim \langle x\rangle^{-\alpha}$, hence

$$\int_{t_0}^{t} \|\chi|\Pi_k L(\tau)u_0|^2\Pi_k L(t)u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}d\tau \leq T^{\gamma'}\|L(t)u_0\|_{Z_{t_0}(s)} \ .$$

Finally, we get

$$\|A(v)\|_{Y_{t_0,T}(s,\pi N_k)} \leq C\|v_0\|_{H^s(\pi N_k)} + CT^{\gamma'}\Big(\|L(t)u_0\|^3_{Z_{t_0}(s)} + \|\chi\|_{L^\infty\cap H^s}\|v\|^3_{Y_{t_0,T}(s,\pi N_k)}\Big)$$

and with the hypothesis on χ, u_0 and v_0

$$\|A(v)\|_{Y_{t_0,T}(s,\pi N_k)} \leq C\Lambda + CT^{\gamma'}\Big(\Lambda^3 + \|v\|^3_{Y_{t_0,T}(s,\pi N_k)}\Big) \ .$$

Therefore, for $T = \frac{1}{C\Lambda^{2\gamma}}$, the ball of radius $C\Lambda$ is stable under A.

For the same reasons,

$$\|A(v) - A(w)\|_{Y_{t_0,T}(s,\pi N_k)} \leq CT^{\gamma'}\Lambda^2\|v - w\|_{T_{t_0,T}(s,\pi N_k)}$$

which makes it contracting for $T = \frac{1}{C\Lambda^{2\gamma}}$ with C big enough. Therefore, we have the existence, uniqueness of the solution as well as the bound on it. □

Remark 3.1. *This involves in particular that the solutions $\psi_k(t)u_0$ exist and are unique. Indeed, $\psi_k'(t)u_0 = \psi(t)u_0 - L(t)u_0$ is the solution of the equation of 3.1 when $t_0 = 0$ and $v_0 = 0$. Besides, the proposition is still true if we solve*

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t v + \triangle v - \Big(\chi|L(t)u_0 + v|^2(L(t)u_0 + v)\Big) = 0 \\ v_{|t=t_0} = v_0 \end{cases}$$

in $Y_{t_0,T}(s, +\infty)$ as the Strichartz are even more allowing in terms of regularity in non-compact manifolds. Hence, the solution $\psi(t)u_0$ is locally well-defined.

3.2 Local uniform convergence

In this subsection, we prove that $\psi_k(t)$ converges uniformly in the initial datum, locally in time for several metrics.

Definition 3.2. Let $Y_T'(s)$ be the space associated to the norm

$$\|\cdot\|_{Y_T'(s)} = \|\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha}D^s\cdot\|_{L_t^\infty([-T,T],L_x^2)} + \|\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha}\cdot\|_{L_t^p([-T,T],L_x^\infty)}\,.$$

It is a weighted version of $Y_T(s)$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let u_0 such that*

$$\|L(\tau)u_0\|_{Z(s)} \leq \Lambda\,.$$

We have for all R, and all $s' < s$,

$$\|\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0\|_{Y_T'(s')} \leq C_\alpha(R) + C\|\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0\|_{Y_T(s',R)}$$

with $C_\alpha(R) = C(\langle R\rangle^{-\alpha} + \langle R\rangle^{1-\alpha}(\pi N_k)^{-1}) \to 0$ when R goes to ∞.

Proof. We focus on

$$\|1_{|x|\geq R}(\psi_k(t)u_0 - \psi(t)u_0)\|_{Y_T'(s')}\,.$$

We bound it by

$$\|1_{|x|\geq R}\psi_k(t)u_0\|_{Y_T'(s')} + \|1_{|x|\geq R}\psi(t)u_0\|_{Y_T'(s')}\,.$$

We divide $\{|x| \geq R\}$ in a union of intervals $\cup J_m(R) \cup J_m'(R)$ with $J_m(R) = [R + 2\pi mN_k, R + 2\pi(m + 1)N_k]$ and $J_m'(R) = [-R - 2\pi(m + 1)N_k, R + 2\pi mN_k]$. We get

$$\|1_{|x|\geq R}\psi_k(t)u_0\|_{Y_T'(s')} \leq C\sum_m \langle R + 2m\pi N_l\rangle^{-\alpha}2\pi N_k\|\psi_k(t)u_0\|_{Y_T(s',\pi N_k)} \leq C(R)\Lambda$$

with $C(R) = C(\langle R\rangle^{-\alpha} + \langle R\rangle^{1-\alpha}(\pi N_k)^{-1})$.

For the second one, we have

$$\|1_{|x|\geq R}\psi(t)u_0\|_{Y_T'(s')} \leq C\langle R\rangle^{-\alpha}\Lambda\,.$$

□

Proposition 3.4. *There exists C such that for all $s \in [s_\infty, s_0]$, all $s' < s$, all $\Lambda \geq 1$, all $\varepsilon > 0$, all u_0 such that*

$$\|L(t)u_0\|_{Z(s)} \leq \Lambda$$

and all k,

$$\|(\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0)\|_{Y'_T(s')} \leq CM_k^{s'-s}T^{-1}\Lambda \ .$$

Proof. Because $\psi(t)$ is the solution of (4), we have

$$\psi(t)u_0 = L(t)u_0 + i\int_0^t L(t-\tau)\chi|\psi(\tau)u_0|^2\psi(\tau)u_0 d\tau \ .$$

Similarly, we have

$$\psi_k(t)u_0 = L(t)u_0 + i\int_0^t L(t-\tau)\Pi_k P_k(\chi|\Pi_k\psi_k(\tau)u_0|^2\Pi_k\psi_k(\tau)u_0)d\tau \ .$$

Therefore, we can write

$$\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0 = i\int_0^t L(t-\tau)\Big(\chi|\psi(\tau)u_0|^2\psi(\tau)u_0 - \Pi_k P_k(\chi|\Pi_k\psi_k(\tau)u_0|^2\Pi_k\psi_k(\tau)u_0)\Big)d\tau \ .$$

We set

$$F = \Big(\chi|\psi(\tau)u_0|^2\psi(\tau)u_0 - \Pi_k P_k(\chi|\Pi_k\psi_k(\tau)u_0|^2\Pi_k\psi_k(\tau)u_0)\Big) \ .$$

We divide F into three parts $F = F_1 + F_2 + F_3$ where

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= (1-\Pi_k)\chi|\psi(\tau)u_0|^2\psi(\tau)u_0 \ , \\ F_2 &= \Pi_k(1-P_k)\chi|\psi(\tau)u_0|^2\psi(\tau)u_0 \ , \\ F_3 &= \Pi_k P_k\chi\Big(|\psi(\tau)u_0|^2\psi(\tau)u_0 - |\Pi_k\psi_k(\tau)u_0|^2\Pi_k\psi_k(\tau)u_0\Big) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Let $R_k = \pi N_k(1-\frac{1}{k})$.
For $i = 1, 2, 3$, let

$$I.i = \|\int_0^t L(t-\tau)F_i(\tau)d\tau\|_{Y_T(s',R_k)}$$

such that $\|\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0\|_{Y_T(s',R_k)} \leq I.1 + I.2 + I.3$.

We estimate $I.1$. We have

$$I.1 \leq \|\int_0^t L(t-\tau)F_1(\tau)d\tau\|_{Y_T(s')} \leq \int_0^t \|F_1(\tau)\|_{H^{s'}(+\infty)}d\tau$$

As Π_k is a smooth cutoff over the frequency M_k, we have

$$I.1 \leq \frac{M_k}{2}^{s'-s}\int_0^t \|\chi|\psi(\tau)u_0|^2\psi(\tau)u_0\|_{H^s} \leq CM_k^{s'-s}T^{\gamma'}\|1_{t\in[-T,T]}\chi^{1/3}\psi(\tau)u_0\|^3_{Z(s)} \ .$$

We have that $\psi(t)u_0 = L(t)u_0 + \psi'(t)u_0$ with

$$\|\chi^{1/3}L(t)u_0\|_{Z(s)} \leq \Lambda$$

by hypothesis and

$$\|1_{[-T,T]}\chi^{1/3}\psi'(t)u_0\|_{Z(s)} \leq C_\chi\|\psi'(t)u_0\|_{Y_T(s)} \lesssim \Lambda$$

thanks to local well-posedness. Therefore,

$$I.1 \leq CT^{\gamma'}\Lambda^3 M_k^{s'-s} .$$

For $I.2$, we use the finite propagation speed. We have $I.2 \leq A + B$ with

$$A = \| \int_0^t L(t-\tau)\Pi_k 1_{R_k+2TM_k}(1-P_k)\chi|\psi(\tau)u_0|^2\psi(\tau)u_0\|_{Y_T(s')} ,$$

and

$$B = \frac{1}{TM_k}\int_0^t \sup_y \|D^{s'}(1-P_k)|\psi(\tau)u_0|^2\psi(\tau)u_0\|_{L^2([y-R_k,y+R_k])} .$$

We choose T such that $3TM_k \leq \frac{\pi N_k}{k}$, that is $T \leq \frac{\pi N_k}{3kM_k}$, we can choose $T \leq C = \min_k \frac{\pi N_k}{3kM_k}$. This makes $A = 0$.

For B, we have

$$\|D^{s'}|\psi(\tau)u_0|^2\psi(\tau)u_0\|_{L^2([y-R_k,y+R_k])} \leq \||\psi(\tau)u_0|^2\psi(\tau)u_0\|_{H^{s'}(\mathbb{R})}$$

and

$$\|D^{s'}P_k|\psi(\tau)u_0|^2\psi(\tau)u_0\|_{L^2([y-R_k,y+R_k])} \leq \||\psi(\tau)u_0|^2\psi(\tau)u_0\|_{H^{s'}(\pi N_k)}$$

as R_k is less than πN_k and $P_k f$ is $2\pi N_k$ periodic for all f. Finally,

$$B \leq \frac{T^{\gamma'-1}}{M_k^2}\Lambda^3 .$$

For $I.3$, we set q' such that $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q'} = s - s'$ and $q = \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{q'}}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\chi\Big(|\psi(\tau)u_0|^2\psi(\tau)u_0 - |\Pi_k\psi_k(\tau)u_0|^2\Pi_k\psi_k(\tau)u_0\|_{H^{s'}(\pi N_k)} \\ \leq \|\chi\Big(|\psi(\tau)u_0|^2\psi(\tau)u_0 - |\Pi_k\psi(\tau)u_0|^2\Pi_k\psi(\tau)u_0\|_{H^{s'}(\pi N_k)} + \\ \|\chi\Big(|\Pi_k\psi(\tau)u_0|^2\Pi_k\psi(\tau)u_0 - |\Pi_k\psi_k(\tau)u_0|^2\Pi_k\psi_k(\tau)u_0\|_{H^{s'}(\pi N_k)} .\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\chi\Big(|\psi(\tau)u_0|^2\psi(\tau)u_0 - |\Pi_k\psi(\tau)u_0|^2\Pi_k\psi(\tau)u_0\|_{H^{s'}(\pi N_k)} \leq \|\chi^{1/3}(1-\Pi_k)\psi(\tau)u_0\|_{H^{s'}}\|\chi^{2/3}(|P_k\psi(\tau)u_0|^2 + \\ |\psi(\tau)u_0|^2)\|\|_{L^\infty} + \|\chi^{1/3}(1-\Pi_k)\psi(\tau)u_0\|_{L^q}\|\chi^{2/3}(|P_k\psi(\tau)u_0|^2 + |\psi(\tau)u_0|^2)\|\|_{W^{s',q'}} .\end{aligned}$$

Since H^s is embedded in $W^{s',q'}$ we have

$$\|\chi^{2/3}|P_k\psi(\tau)u_0|^2 + |\psi(\tau)u_0|^2\|\|_{W^{s',q'}} \lesssim (\|\psi(\tau)u_0\|_{H^s} + \|\psi(\tau)u_0\|_{L^\infty})^2 .$$

We also have

$$\|\chi^{1/3}(1-\Pi_k)\psi(\tau)u_0\|_{H^{s'}} \leq C_\chi M_k^{s-s'}\|\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha}D^s\psi(\tau)u_0\|_{L^2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\chi^{1/3}(1-\Pi_k)\psi(\tau)u_0\|_{L^q} \leq \|\chi^{1/3}(1-\Pi_k)\psi(\tau)u_0\|_{L^2}^{\theta}\|\chi^{1/3}(1-\Pi_k)\psi(\tau)u_0\|_{L^\infty}^{1-\theta} \\ \leq C_\chi M_k^{-s\theta}\|\psi(\tau)u_0\|_{H^s}^{\theta}\|\psi(\tau)u_0\|_{L^\infty}^{1-\theta}\end{aligned}$$

with $\theta = \frac{2}{p}$ which makes $s\theta \geq s - s'$. Finally, we get

$$I.3 \leq CT^{\gamma'}\Lambda^2 \|\chi^{1/3}\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0\|_{Y_T(s',\pi N_k)} + CT^{\gamma'}M_k^{s'-s}\Lambda^3$$

$$I.3 \leq CT^{\gamma'}\Lambda^2 \|\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0\|_{Y'_T(s')} \ .$$

In the end, we have

$$\|\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0\|_{Y'_T(s')} \leq C(R_k) + CM_k^{s'-s}$$

and $C(R_k) = C(\langle R\rangle^{-\alpha} + \langle R\rangle^{1-\alpha}(\pi N_k)^{-1}) \leq C(\pi N_k)^{-\alpha} \leq CM_k^{s'-s}$ as $N_k = 2^k$ and $M_k = k$. □

Further convergences We need further convergence to be able to extend the local properties to global times and to prove the invariance of the measure.

Proposition 3.5. *Assume that u_0 is such that*

$$\|L(t)u_0\|_{Z(s)} \leq \Lambda \ .$$

Then, for all $t \in [-T, T]$ with $T = \frac{1}{C\Lambda^{2\gamma}}$, the sequence $\psi_k(t)u_0$ converges towards $\psi(t)u_0$ in $X^{-1/2-}$ with a rate of convergence independent from u_0, namely

$$d(\psi_k(t), \psi(t)) \leq CM_k^{-\beta}\Lambda\frac{1}{T}$$

for some $\beta > 0$.

Proof. Let $\sigma < -1/2$. We estimate

$$\|\langle x\rangle^{-2}\langle\tau\rangle^{-2}L(\tau)D^{\sigma}(\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0)\|_{L^2_{\tau,x}} \ .$$

We fix τ.

Let $R = M_k^{\beta}$. Above R, we have

$$\|1_{|x|\geq R}\langle x\rangle^{-2}L(\tau)D^{\sigma}(\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0)\|_{L^2_x} \leq \langle R\rangle^{-1}\|L(\tau)D^{\sigma}(\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0)\|_{L^\infty_x} \ .$$

We use that $\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0 = \psi'(t)u_0 - \psi'_k(t)u_0)$ and that with the choice of u_0, $\|\psi'_k(t)u_0\|_{H^s} \leq C\Lambda$, $\|\psi'(t)u_0\|_{H^s} \leq C\Lambda$. We have

$$\|L(\tau)D^{\sigma}(\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0)\|_{L^\infty_x} \leq \|L(\tau)D^{\sigma}(\psi'(t)u_0)\|_{L^\infty_x} + \|L(\tau)D^{\sigma}(\psi'_k(t)u_0)\|_{L^\infty_x} \ .$$

Thanks to Sobolev embedding ($\sigma < -1/2$), we have

$$\|L(\tau)D^{\sigma}(\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0)\|_{L^\infty_x} \leq C\|L(\tau)(\psi'(t)u_0)\|_{H^s} + \|L(\tau)(\psi'_k(t)u_0)\|_{H^s} \leq C\Lambda \ .$$

Under R, we fix $\varepsilon < s/\alpha$. Write $\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0$ as

$$\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0 = (1 - \Pi'_k)\psi'(t)u_0 + \Pi'_k(\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0) - (1 - \Pi'_k)\psi'_k(t)u_0$$

where Π'_k is the Fourier multiplier by $\eta(\frac{n}{M_k^{\varepsilon}})$. We have

$$\|D^{\sigma}L(\tau)(1 - \Pi'_k)\psi'(t)u_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|L(\tau)(1 - \Pi'_k)\|_{L^2} \leq M_k^{-s\varepsilon}\|\psi'(t)\|_{H^s}$$

and with the norm over time

$$\|\langle\tau\rangle^{-2} D^\sigma L(\tau)(1-\Pi_k')\psi'(t)u_0\|_{L_t^2,L^\infty} \leq C\Lambda M_k^{-s\varepsilon} .$$

For the same reasons,

$$\|\langle\tau\rangle^{-2} D^\sigma L(\tau)(1-\Pi_k')\psi_k'(t)u_0\|_{L_t^2,L^\infty} \leq C M_k^{-s\varepsilon}\Lambda .$$

For the last term, we use the finite speed propagation

$$\|D^\sigma L(\tau)\Pi_k'(\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0)\|_{L^\infty(|x|\leq R)} \leq A + B$$

with

$$A = \|D^\sigma L(\tau)\Pi_k' 1_{|x|\leq R+3\langle\tau\rangle M_k^\varepsilon}(\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0)\|_{L^\infty}$$

and

$$B = \frac{1}{M_k^{2\varepsilon}}\|\langle\tau\rangle^{-3}\sup_y \|D^\sigma\Pi_k'(\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0)\|_{L^\infty([y-R,y+R])}\|_{L_t^2} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{M_k^{2\varepsilon}T}(\|\langle\tau\rangle^{-3}\psi'(t)\|_{L_t^2,L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\langle\tau\rangle^{-3}\psi_k'(t)\|_{L_t^2,L^2(\pi N_k)}$$

(we can assume $R \leq \pi N_k$). Hence $B \leq \frac{1}{M_k^{2\varepsilon}}\Lambda^3 T^{\gamma'}$.

By Sobolev embedding

$$A \leq \|1_{|x|\leq R+3|\tau|M_k^\varepsilon}(\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0)\|_{L^2}$$

We use the convergence of $\psi_k(t)u_0$ towards $\psi(t)$ in L^2 with the weight $\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha}$, we have

$$\|\langle\tau\rangle^{-2} D^\sigma L(\tau)\Pi_k'(\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0)\|_{L_t^2,L^\infty(|x|\leq R)} \leq C\langle R + 3|\tau|M_k^\varepsilon\rangle^\alpha M_k^{-s}T^{-1} .$$

We use $\beta = \varepsilon$ and $\beta' = \min(\beta, s\varepsilon, s - \alpha\varepsilon)$, we have

$$\|\langle x\rangle^{-2}\langle\tau\rangle^{-2} L(\tau)D^\sigma(\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0)\|_{L_{\tau,x}^2} \leq C\|\langle\tau\rangle^{\alpha-2}\|_{L^2} M_k^{-\beta'}$$

We had chosen α such that $2 - \alpha > 1/2$. Hence,

$$d(\psi_k(t)u_0, \psi(t)u_0) \leq C M_k^{-\beta'} T^{-1} .$$

□

Proposition 3.6. *Assume that u_0 is such that*

$$\|L(t)u_0\|_{Z(s)} \leq \Lambda .$$

Then, for all $t \in [-T, T]$ with $T = \frac{1}{C\Lambda^{2\gamma}}$ and all $s' < s$, the sequence $L(\tau)\psi_k(t)u_0$ converges towards $L(\tau)\psi(t)u_0$ in $Z'(s')$ with a rate of convergence independent from u_0, namely

$$\|L(\tau)(\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t))u_0\|_{Z'(s')} \leq C M_k^{-\beta}\Lambda\frac{1}{T}$$

for some $\beta > 0$.

Proof. We proceed in the same way as for Proposition 3.5. We can apply the local uniform proposition (3.4) with s'. Let $R = M_k^\varepsilon$. We divide the norm between what is included above and under R. Above R, we have,

$$\|1_{|x|\geq R} L(\tau)\psi'(t)u_0\|_{Z'(s')} \leq \langle R\rangle^{-\alpha} \|L(\tau)\psi'(t)u_0\|_{Z'(s')}$$

and then we use Strichartz estimates

$$\|1_{|x|\geq R} L(\tau)\psi'(t)u_0\|_{Z'(s')} \leq C\langle R\rangle^{-\alpha} \|\psi'(t)u_0\|_{H^{s'}} \leq C\langle R\rangle^{-\alpha}\Lambda \ .$$

and for the norm of $\psi_k'(t)$ we divide $\{|x| \geq R\}$ in $\cup_m [2\pi m N_k + R, 2\pi N_k(m+1) + R] \cup [-R-(m+1)2\pi N_k, -R - m2\pi N_k]$ to get as in Lemma 3.3

$$\|1_{|x|\geq R} L(\tau)\psi'(t)u_0\|_{Z'(s')} \leq C(\langle R\rangle^{-\alpha} + \langle R\rangle^{1-\alpha}(\pi N_k)^{-1}) \ .$$

Under R, we write $\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0$ as

$$\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0 = (1-\Pi_k')\psi'(t)u_0 + \Pi_k'(\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0) - (1-\Pi_k')\psi_k'(t)u_0$$

where Π_k' is the Fourier multiplier by $\eta(\frac{n}{M_k^\varepsilon})$. We have, by Strichartz

$$\|L(\tau)(1-\Pi_k')\psi'(t)u_0\|_{Z'(s')} \leq C\|(1-\Pi_k')\psi'(t)u_0\|_{H^{s'}} \leq M_k^{(s'-s)\varepsilon}\|\psi'(t)u_0\|_{H^s} \leq C\Lambda M_k^{(s'-s)\varepsilon} \ .$$

By dividing $\mathbb{R}$ into intervals of size $2\pi N_k$, we get

$$\|L(\tau)(1-\Pi_k')\psi_k'(t)u_0\|_{Z'(s')} \leq \sum_m \langle 2\pi N_k m\rangle^{-\alpha} \|1_{|x|\leq \pi N_k} L(\tau)(1-\Pi_k')\psi_k'(t)u_0\|_{Z'(s')}$$

and by using Strichartz estimates

$$\|L(\tau)(1-\Pi_k')\psi_k'(t)u_0\|_{Z(s')} \leq C\langle \pi N_k\rangle^{-\alpha} M_k^{(s'-s)\varepsilon}\Lambda \ .$$

For the last term, we use the finite speed propagation

$$\|1_{|x|\leq R} L(\tau)\Pi_k'(\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k'(t)u_0)\|_{Z'(s')} \leq A + B$$

with

$$A = \|L(\tau)\Pi_k' 1_{|x|\leq R+3\langle\tau\rangle M_k^\varepsilon}(\psi'(t)u_0 - \psi_k'(t)u_0)\|_{Z'(s')}$$

and

$$B = \frac{1}{M_k^{2\varepsilon}}\|\langle\tau\rangle^{-3} \sup_y \left(\|\psi'(t)u_0 - \psi_k'(t)u_0\|_{L^\infty([y-R,y+R])} + \|\psi'(t)u_0 - \psi_k'(t)u_0\|_{H^{s'}([y-R,y+R])}\right)\|_{L^2_\tau} \ .$$

For A, we use again Strichartz estimates

$$A \leq \|\langle\tau\rangle^{-2} 1_{|x|\leq R+3|\tau|M_k^\varepsilon}(\psi'(t)u_0 - \psi_k'(t)u_0)\|_{L^p_\tau, H^{s'}} \ .$$

For B, we use the local bounds to get

$$B \leq \frac{1}{M_k^{2\varepsilon}}\Lambda \ .$$

Thanks to Proposition 3.4, we have

$$A \leq \|\langle\tau\rangle^{-2}(R + 3\langle\tau\rangle M_k^\varepsilon)^\alpha\|_{L^p_t} \|\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha} D^{s'}(\psi'(t)u_0 - \psi_k'(t)u_0)\|_{L^2_x} \leq C M_k^{\varepsilon\alpha} M_k^{s'-s}\Lambda T^{-1} \ .$$

We choose $\varepsilon < (s-s')/\alpha$ and $\beta = \min(\varepsilon, s-s'-\alpha\varepsilon, (s-s')\varepsilon)$ and also α such that $2-\alpha > 1/p$ to be able to integrate in time and conclude. □

3.3 local continuity

In this subsection, we prove that the flows ψ_k are locally continuous in the initial datum.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $u_{0,1}$ and $u_{0,2}$ such that*

$$\|L(t)u_{0,i}\|_{Z(s)} \leq \Lambda \ .$$

Then,

$$\|\psi_k'(t)u_{0,1} - \psi_k'(t)u_{0,2}\|_{Y_T(s,\pi N_k)} \leq C\|L(t)(u_{0,1} - u_{0,2})\|_{Z(s)}$$

with C independent from s, Λ, k.

Proof. Let $v_i = \psi'(t)u_{0,i}$. We have

$$v_1 - v_2 = i\int_0^t \Pi_k P_k \chi^{1/3}\Big(|L(\tau)u_{0,1} + v_1|^2(L(\tau)u_{0,1} + v_1) - |L(\tau)u_{0,2} + v_2|^2(L(\tau)u_{0,2} + v_2)\Big)d\tau$$

and using Strichartz estimates

$$\|v_1 - v_2\|_{Y_T(s,\pi N_k)} \leq CT^{\gamma'}\Lambda^2\Big(\|\chi^{1/3}L(t)(u_{0,1} - u_{0,2})\|_{Z'(s)} + \|v_1 - v_2\|_{Y_T(s,\pi N_k)}\Big) \ .$$

As $T = \frac{1}{C\Lambda^{2\gamma}}$ with C big enough, we get the result. □

Proposition 3.8. *For all u, v such that*

$$\|L(t)u\|_{Z(s)} \leq \Lambda \ .$$

and

$$\|L(t)v\|_{Z(s)} \leq \Lambda \ .$$

and all $t \in [-T, T]$ with $T = \frac{1}{C\Lambda^{2\gamma}}$, we have

$$d(\psi_k(t)u, \psi_k(t)v) \leq C\|L(\tau)(u - v)\|_{Z'(s)} + d(u, v)$$

and

$$\|L(\tau)(\psi_k(t)u - \psi_k(t)v)\|_{Z'(s)} \leq C\|L(\tau)(u - v)\|_{Z'(s)} \ .$$

Proof. We start with $Z'(s)$. We write $\psi_k(t)u - \psi_k(t)v = \psi_k'(t)u - \psi_k'(t)v + L(t)(u - v)$. We use that $\psi'(t)u$ and $\psi'(t)v$ are in E_k and we divide the space line into intervals of size $2\pi N_k$ and the time line into intervals of size 1 and Strichartz estimates to get

$$\|L(\tau)(\psi_k'(t)u - \psi_k'(t)v)\|_{Z'(s)} \leq C(\pi N_k)^{-1}\|\psi_k'(t)u - \psi_k'(t)v\|_{H^s} \leq C\|L(\tau)(u - v)\|_{Z'(s)} \ .$$

We use a change of variable on time and the fact that $\langle\tau\rangle^2\langle t + \tau\rangle^{-2}$ is bounded to get

$$\|L(\tau)(L(t)u - L(t)v)\|_{Z'(s)} \leq C(t)\|L(\tau)(u - v)\|_{Z'(s)} \ .$$

For d, we use the same arguments, replacing Strichartz estimates by Sobolev embeddings

$$\begin{aligned}\|\langle x\rangle^{-2}\langle\tau\rangle^{-2}D^\sigma L(\tau)(\psi_k'(t)u - \psi_k'(t)v)\|_{L^2} &\leq C(\pi N_k)^{-1}\|D^\sigma L(t)(\psi_k'(t)u - \psi_k'(t)v)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C\|L(\tau)(u - v)\|_{Z'(s)} \ .\end{aligned}$$

and a change of variable to get

$$\|\langle x\rangle^{-2}\langle\tau\rangle^{-2}D^\sigma L(\tau)(L(t)u - L(t)v)\|_{L^2} \leq C\langle t\rangle^2\|\langle x\rangle^{-2}\langle\tau\rangle^{-2}D^\sigma L(\tau)(u - v)\|_{L^2}$$

as in the proof of the continuity of $L(t)$ to get

$$d(\psi_k(t)u, \psi_k(t)v) \leq C(t)\|L(\tau)(u - v)\|_{Z'(s)} + d(u, v) \ .$$

□

4 Global analysis

In this section, we extend results of the previous section to global times, assuming that we take the initial data in a given set A. In this way, we prove the global well-posedness of (2), but also the global uniform convergence of the flow $\psi_k(t)$ of our approaching equations (3) towards the flow $\psi(t)$ of (2). We do this by propagating these properties from time to time. The global well-posedness of the approaching equations are due to energy estimates and the fact that the non linear part is in E_k, a finite dimensional space.

4.1 Global well-posedness of approaching equations

Proposition 4.1. *The Cauchy problem*

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t v + \Delta v - \Pi_k P_k \left(\chi |\Pi_k(L(t)u_0 + v)|^2 (\Pi_k(L(t)u_0 + v)\right) = 0 \\ v(x,0) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

is globally well posed in $L(t)u_0 + E_k$.

Proof. We define the energy of the system to be

$$\mathcal{E}_k(v) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{-\pi N_k}^{\pi N_k} \left(|\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{2} P_k \left(\chi |R_k(u_0, v)|^4\right)\right) \tag{13}$$

where we are denoting with $R_k(u_0, v) = \Pi_k(L(t)u_0 + v)$. Differentiating in time gives

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E}_k(v) = -\mathrm{Re}\int_{-\pi N_k}^{\pi N_k} \partial_t \overline{v} \Delta v + \mathrm{Re}\int_{-\pi N_k}^{\pi N_k} \chi |R_k(u_0, v)|^2 R_k(u_0, v) \partial_t \overline{R_k(u_0, v)}.$$

Since $\partial_t R_k(u_0, v) = \Pi_k(\partial_t L(t)u_0 + \partial_t v)$ and Π_k is a self-adjoint operator we can rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathcal{E}_k(v) &= \mathrm{Re}\left(\int_{-\pi N_k}^{\pi N_k} \partial_t \overline{v} \left(-\Delta v + P_k \left(\chi |R_k(u_0, v)|^2 (R_k(u_0, v))\right)\right)\right) \\ &+ \mathrm{Re}\left(\int_{-\pi N_k}^{\pi N_k} \partial_t \overline{L(t)u_0} \left(P_k \chi |R_k(u_0, v)|^2 R_k(u_0, v)\right)\right) \\ &= I + II. \end{aligned}$$

It is immediate to verify, since v solves equation (12), that

$$I = \mathrm{Re}\left((-i) \int_{-\pi N_k}^{\pi N_k} \overline{\partial_t v} \partial_t v \right) = 0,$$

so that we are left with II. We estimate it as follows

$$\begin{aligned} II &\leq \|\Pi_k \partial_t L(t)u_0\|_{L^4(\pi N_k)} \|P_k \chi |R_k(u_0, v)|^2 R_k(u_0, v)\|_{L^{4/3}(\pi N_k)} \\ &\leq \|\Pi_k \Delta L(t)u_0\|_{L^4(\pi N_k)} \|P_k \chi\|_{L^\infty}^{1/3} \|P_k \chi^{1/4} R_k(u_0, v)\|_{L^4}^3 \\ &\lesssim \|\Pi_k \Delta L(t)u_0\|_{L^4(\pi N_k)} \mathcal{E}_k(v)^{3/4} \end{aligned}$$

since $\chi \in L^\infty$. Let us now consider the quantity $\mathcal{H}_k(v) = \mathcal{E}_k(v)^{1/4}$: we have

$$\partial_t \mathcal{H}_k(v) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\partial_t \mathcal{E}_k(v)\right) \mathcal{E}_k(v)^{-3/4} \lesssim \|\Pi_k \Delta L(t)u_0\|_{L^4(\pi N_k)}$$

which then gives by integration in time (the initial datum is 0)

$$\mathcal{H}_k(v) \lesssim \int_0^t \|\Pi_k \Delta L(\tau) u_0\|_{L^4(\pi N_k)} d\tau.$$

The boundedness of $\int_0^t \|\Pi_k \Delta L(\tau) u_0\|_{L^4(\pi N_k)} d\tau$ (Π_k is a smooth cutoff thus the presence of the Laplacian is not a problem) then guarantees that $\mathcal{H}_k(v) = \mathcal{E}_k(v)^{1/4}$ is bounded for every time t. As a consequence, also $\mathcal{E}_k(v)^{1/2}$ is bounded for every time t. We now exploit the fact that v belongs to E_k which is of finite dimension, and which can be equipped with H^s norm for every $s > 0$; we can therefore estimate, for every $s \in [s_\infty, s_0]$,

$$\|v\|_{H^s(\pi N_k)} \leq C_k \|v\|_{H^1(\pi N_k)}.$$

Since $\mathcal{E}_k(v)^{1/2}$ controls $\|v\|_{H^1(\pi N_k)}$, and the $Z_{t_0}(s)$ norm of $L(t)u_0$ is uniform bounded, the proof is concluded.

□

4.2 Global continuity of approaching flows

In this subsection, we prove that for a certain set of initial data, the flow $\psi_k(t)$ is globally continuous with respect to the initial datum.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $R \geq 0$. Let $B_t(R)$ be the set of u such that*

$$\|L(\tau)u\|_{L^4([0,t],H^s(\pi N_k))} \leq R \ , \ \|\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha} L(\tau)u\|_{L^4([0,t],L^\infty)} \leq R \ , \ \|\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha} D^s L(\tau)u\|_{L^4([0,t],L^2)} \leq R$$

with $s \in]1/3, 1/2[$ For all $t' \in [0,t]$ the map $\psi'(t')$ is continuous in $B_t(R)$ for the $X^{-1/2-}$ topology.

Proof. Let T be such that $T = \frac{1}{C_k f(t,R)}$ with C_k a big enough constant and $f(t,R)$ defined as follows. Thanks to the proof of Proposition 4.1, we know that the L^2 norm of $\psi'(t')u$ is bounded by some function of R and t, $g(t,R)$ for all $u \in B_t(R)$ and $t' \in [0,t]$, we set $f(t,R) = \max(R, g(t,R))^4$. Let $t_n = nT$, we prove by induction on n that $\psi'(t')$ is continuous for $t' \in [t_{n-1}, t_n]$ in $L^\infty([t_{n-1}, t_n], L^2(\pi N_k))$.

For $n = 0$, we have $t_0 = 0$ and $\psi'(0) = Id$.

For the induction $n \to n+1$, we consider that $u = \psi'(t')u_0$ and $v = \psi'(t')v_0$ with $u_0, v_0 \in B_t(R)$ are the fixed points

$$u(t') = L(t' - t_n)u(t_n) + i \int_{t_n}^{t'} \Pi_k P_k \chi\Big(|u(\tau) + L(\tau)u_0|^2 (u(\tau) + L(\tau)u_0)\Big) d\tau$$

$$v(t') = L(t' - t_n)v(t_n) + i \int_{t_n}^{t'} \Pi_k P_k \chi\Big(|v(\tau) + L(\tau)v_0|^2 (v(\tau) + L(\tau)v_0)\Big) d\tau \ .$$

Hence we get that, since H^s is embedded in L^6, and since u, v belong to E_k which is of finite dimension and hence every norm is equivalent on E_k:

$$\|u(t') - v(t')\|_{L^2} \leq \|u(t_n) - v(t_n)\|_{L^2} + C_k \|L(\tau)(u_0 - v_0)\|_{L^2([t_n, t_{n+1}], L^6)} g(t,R)^2 + C_k T^{1/2} g(t,R)^2 \|u - v\|_{L^\infty([t_n, t_{n+1}], L^2)} \ .$$

With our definition of T, $C_k T^{1/2} g(t,R)^2 < 1$. Therefore,

$$\|u(t') - v(t')\|_{L^2} \leq C_k \|u(t_n) - v(t_n)\|_{L^2} + C_k \|L(\tau)(u_0 - v_0)\|_{L^2([t_n, t_{n+1}], L^6(\pi N_k))} g(t,R)^2 \ .$$

We focus on

$$\|L(\tau)(u_0 - v_0)\|_{L^6(\pi N_k))} = \|P_k L(\tau)(u_0 - v_0)\|_{L^6(\pi N_k))} .$$

By Sobolev embedding, we have

$$\|L(\tau)(u_0 - v_0)\|_{L^6(\pi N_k))} \leq \|P_k L(\tau)(u_0 - v_0)\|_{H^{1/3}(\pi N_k))} .$$

We introduce the smooth frequency cut-off Π_r, we have

$$\|L(\tau)(u_0 - v_0)\|_{L^6(\pi N_k))} \leq \|(1 - \Pi_r)P_k L(\tau)(u_0 - v_0)\|_{H^{1/3}(\pi N_k))} + \|\Pi_r P_k L(\tau)(u_0 - v_0)\|_{H^{1/3}(\pi N_k))} .$$

We have

$$\|(1 - \Pi_r)P_k L(\tau)(u_0 - v_0)\|_{H^{1/3}(\pi N_k))} \leq C r^{1/3-s} R$$

and since E_k is of finite dimension

$$\|\Pi_k P_k L(\tau)(u_0 - v_0)\|_{L^2([t_n,t_{n+1}],H^{1/3}(\pi N_k))} \leq C_k(t)\|\langle\tau\rangle^{-2} D^\sigma \Pi_k P_k L(\tau)(u_0 - v_0)\|_{L^2_{t,x}} \leq C_k(t,r) d(u_0, v_0) .$$

We use that $\psi(t_n)$ is continuous by induction hypothesis and that $r^{s-1/3}$ goes to 0 when $r \to \infty$ to conclude. □

4.3 Global uniform convergence

Definition 4.3. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\Lambda_n = (1+n)^{\gamma'/4}\Lambda, T_n = \frac{1}{C\Lambda_n^{2\gamma}} = \frac{1}{C\Lambda^{2\gamma}\sqrt{1+n}}, t_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} T_k \sim \sqrt{n}, s_n = s_\infty + \frac{1}{n}(s_0 - s_\infty) .$$

Let

$$A_{k,n}(\Lambda) = \{u_0 |\ \|L(t)\psi_k(t_n)u_0\|_{Z(s_n)} \leq \Lambda_{n+1}\} ,$$

$$A_k(\Lambda) = \bigcap_n A_{k,n}(\Lambda) \text{ and } A(\Lambda) = \limsup_k A_k(\Lambda) .$$

Proposition 4.4. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

1. *for all $t \in [0, t_n]$, all $u_0 \in A(\Lambda)$, $\psi(t)u_0$ is well-defined,*
2. *for all $t \in [0, t_n]$, $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \geq k_0$ and all $u_0 \in A(\Lambda)$,*

$$d(\psi(t)u_0, \psi_k(t)u_0) \leq \varepsilon \text{ and } \|L(\tau)(\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0)\|_{Z'(s_n)} \leq \varepsilon ,$$

3. *$\|L(t)\psi(t_n)u_0\|_{Z(s_n)} \leq \Lambda_{n+1}$,*
4. *there exists $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \geq k_1$ and all $u_0 \in A(\Lambda)$,*

$$\|L(t)\psi_k(t_n)u_0\|_{Z(s_n)} \leq 2\Lambda_{n+1}.$$

Proof. We assume $t \geq 0$ and proceed by induction to prove the property $P(n)$. The inductive base, that is ($P(n = 0)$), is easily done: properties 1, 2 and 3 are trivial indeed, as is property 4 (which is just $\|L(t)u_0\|_{Z(s_0)} \leq 2\Lambda_1$) once noticed that $u_0 \in A_{k,0}(\Lambda)$ for some k big enough.

Let us now prove the inductive step, that is $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$; we take $\tau = t_n + t \in [t_n, t_{n+1}]$. We start with property 1. We use 3 at the n-th step to write

$$\|L(t)\psi(t_n)u_0\|_{Z(s_n)} \leq \Lambda_{n+1} \tag{14}$$

We now rely on our local well-posedness theory: assumption (14) implies indeed that $\psi(t_n + \tau)u_0$ is well defined for $|\tau| \leq T_{n+1}$ and so that $\psi(t)u_0$ is well defined in $[0, t_n + T_{n+1}] = [0, t_{n+1}]$ (see Proposition 3.1).

To prove property 2 we make use of both our local continuity and local uniform convergence results. We start writing

$$\psi(t)u_0 - \psi_k(t)u_0 = [\psi(\tau)\psi(t_n)u_0 - \psi_k(\tau)\psi(t_n)u_0] + [\psi_k(\tau)\psi(t_n)u_0 - \psi_k(\tau)\psi_k(t_n)u_0]$$

$$= I_1 + I_2$$

to deal separately with I_1 and I_2. In order to bound I_1, we use the local uniform convergence, namely Propositions 3.5 and 3.6 to have that, for every $\tau \in [t_n - T_{n+1}, t_n + T_{n+1}]$ and some $\beta > 0$,

$$d(\psi(\tau)\psi(t_n)u_0, \psi_k(\tau)\psi(t_n)u_0) \leq M_k^{-\beta}\Lambda_{n+1}^{1-2\gamma}$$

and for all $s'_{n+1} < s_{n+1}$

$$\|L(t)(\psi(\tau)\psi(t_n)u_0 - \psi_k(\tau)\psi(t_n)u_0)\|_{Z'(s'_n)} \leq M_k^{-\beta}\Lambda_{n+1}^{1-2\gamma}.$$

Therefore, provided $k \geq k_0$ is big enough, we can make both the right hand sides above smaller than any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Turning to I_2, we rely on local continuity of ψ: properties 3 and 4 at the n-th step guarantee again the sufficient bounds on the initial datum, which here are $\psi(t_n)$ and $\psi_k(t_n)$, and so the application of Proposition 3.7 yields, for all $\tau \in [t_n - T_{n+1}, t_n + T_{n+1}]$,

$$d(\psi_k(\tau)\psi(t_n)u_0, \psi_k(\tau)\psi_k(t_n)u_0)$$

$$\leq C\|L(t)(\psi(t_n)u_0 - \psi_k(t_n)u_0)\|_{Z'(s_n)} + d(\psi(t_n)u_0, \psi_k(t_n)u_0),$$

and

$$\|L(t)(\psi_k(\tau)\psi(t_n)u_0 - \psi_k(\tau)\psi_k(t_n)u_0)\|_{Z'(s_n)} \leq C\|L(t)(\psi(t_n)u_0 - \psi_k(t_n)u_0)\|_{Z'(s_n)}.$$

Since $P(n)$ is supposed to be true, in particular 2 holds for $t = t_n$; we can therefore estimate both the right hand sides above (and so I_2) with ϵ.

Property 3 immediately follows from the fact that for an increasing sequence $k_m \to +\infty$ we have from the assumption $u_0 \in A_{k_m,n+1}(\Lambda)$

$$\|L(t)\psi_{k_m}(t_{n+1})u_0\|_{Z(s_{n+1})} \leq \Lambda_{n+2};$$

weak convergence of $\psi_k(t)u_0$ towards $\psi(t)u_0$ then yields 3.

Finally, to prove property 4 it is enough to notice that convergence in $Z(s)$ norm is implied by convergence in $Z'(s)$ norm, which is guaranteed by Proposition 3.5; the estimate on the limit provided by property 3 then guarantees the desired bound on $\psi_k(t_n)$ for $k \geq k_1$ for some k_1 big enough. □

5 Conclusion : Invariance of ρ under $\psi(t)$

In this section, we prove the final part of Theorem 1. We begin by proving that the set of initial data is of full ρ-measure ($\rho(A) = 1$), and then, we prove the invariance of ρ under $\psi(t)$.

5.1 Measure of A

Lemma 5.1. *For all k and all $\Lambda \geq 1$, we have*

$$\rho_k(A_k(\Lambda)^c) \leq \frac{C}{\Lambda^{8\gamma}} .$$

Proof. We have

$$A_k(\Lambda)^c = \bigcup_n (A_{k,n}(\Lambda)^c)$$

thus

$$\rho_k(A_k(\Lambda)^c) \leq \sum_n \rho_k(A_{k,n}(\Lambda)^c) .$$

The set $A_{k,n}((\Lambda)^c)$ is given by

$$\psi_k(t_n)^{-1}(\{u \mid ||L(\tau)u||_{Z(s_n)} > \Lambda_{n+1}\})$$

and as ρ_k is invariant under $\psi_k(t_n)$,

$$\rho_k(A_{k,n}^c) \leq Ce^{-c\Lambda_{n+1}^2} = Ce^{-c(n+1)^{\gamma'/4}\Lambda^2}$$

and summing gives the desired bound. □

Lemma 5.2. *We have for all Λ*

$$\rho(A(\Lambda)^c) \leq \frac{C}{\Lambda^{8\gamma}} .$$

Proof. First, we use that $A(\Lambda)^c = \liminf_k A_k(\Lambda)^c$ to get, thanks to Fatou's lemma,

$$\rho(A(\Lambda)^c) \leq \liminf_k \rho(A_k(\Lambda)^c) .$$

We have that

$$A_{k,n(\Lambda)^c)} \subseteq \{u \mid ||L(\tau)\psi_k'(t_n)u||_{Z(s_n)} > \Lambda_{n+1}\} \cup \{u \mid ||L(\tau + t_n)u||_{Z(s_n)} > \Lambda_{n+1}\}$$

thus we get

$$A_{k,n}(\Lambda)^c) \subseteq \bigcup_n \{u \mid ||L(\tau)\psi_k'(t_n)u||_{Z(s_n)} > \Lambda_{n+1}/2\} \cup \bigcup_n \{u \mid ||L(\tau + t_n)u||_{Z(s_n)} > \Lambda_{n+1}/2\} .$$

Thanks to the definition of μ and ρ we have that

$$\rho\Big(\bigcup_n \{u \mid ||L(\tau + t_n)u||_{Z(s_n)} > \Lambda_{n+1}/2\}\Big) \leq \frac{C}{\Lambda^{8\gamma}} .$$

We reuse the sets $B_t(R)$ defined in Proposition 4.2. As the support of ρ is included in $\cup_R B_t(R)$ we have

$$\rho(\bigcup_n \{u \mid ||L(\tau)\psi_k'(t_n)u||_{Z(s_n)} > \Lambda_{n+1}/2\}) = \sup_R \rho_{t_n,R}(\bigcup_n \{u \mid ||L(\tau)\psi_k'(t_n)u||_{Z(s_n)} > \Lambda_{n+1}/2\})$$

where $\rho_{t_n,R}(A) = \rho(A \cap B_{t_n}(R))$.

Since for all F bounded and Lipschitz continuous of $X^{-1/2-}$,

$$\int |F \circ \varphi - F \circ \phi_k| 1_{B_{t_n}(R)} d\mathbb{P} \leq \int |F \circ \varphi - F \circ \phi_k| d\mathbb{P} \to_{k\to\infty} 0$$

we get that the sequence $\rho_{t_n,R,k} = \rho_k(\cdots \cap B_{t_n}(R))$ converges weakly towards $\rho_{t_n,R}$.

As $\psi'(t_n)$ is continuous on $B_{t_n}(R)$ from $X^{-1/2-}$ to E_k with any norm, we get that

$$\{u \mid ||L(\tau)\psi'(t_n)u||_{Z(s_n)} > \Lambda_{n+1}/2\}$$

is open and hence

$$\bigcup_n \{u \mid ||L(\tau)\psi'(t_n)u||_{Z(s_n)} > \Lambda_{n+1}/2\}$$

is open too for the trace topology of $X^{-1/2-}$ over $B_{t_n}(R)$. Therefore

$$\rho_{t_n,R}\Big(\bigcup_n \{u \mid ||L(\tau)\psi_k'(t_n)u||_{Z(s_n)} > \Lambda_{n+1}/2\}\Big) \leq \liminf_j \rho_{t_n,R,j} \bigcup_n \{u \mid ||L(\tau)\psi_k'(t_n)u||_{Z(s_n)} > \Lambda_{n+1}/2\} .$$

As $\liminf_{j,k} r_{j,k} \leq \liminf_k r_{k,k}$ and $\rho_{t_n,R,j} \leq \rho_j$ we get

$$\rho_{t_n,R}(A(\Lambda)^c) \leq C\Lambda^{-8\gamma} + \liminf_k \rho_k \bigcup_n \{u \mid ||\xi L(\tau)\psi_k'(t_n)u||_{Z(s_n)} > \Lambda_{n+1}/2\} .$$

Finally, we use the previous lemma, the fact that

$$\bigcup_n \{u \mid ||L(\tau)\psi_k'(t_n)u||_{Z(s_n)} > \Lambda_{n+1}/2\} \subset A_k(\Lambda/4)^c \cup \bigcup_n \{u \mid ||\xi L(\tau + t_n)u||_{Z(s_n)} > \Lambda_{n+1}/4\}$$

and we take the supremum over R to get

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(A(\Lambda)^c) &\leq \liminf_k \Big(\rho_k(A_k(\Lambda/4)^c) + \rho_k\Big(\bigcup_n \Big\{u \Big| ||\xi L(\tau + t_n)u||_{Z(s_n)} \geq \Lambda_{n+1}/4\Big\}\Big)\Big) \\ &\leq C\Lambda^{-8\gamma'} . \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 5.3. *The set A is of full ρ-measure.*

5.2 Invariance of the measure

Proposition 5.4. *For every $A \subset X^{-1/2-}$ measurable and all time t we have*

$$\rho(\psi(t)^{-1}A) = \rho(A). \tag{15}$$

Proof. The proof will make use of all the results we have proved in the paper. It will be enough to show the invariance of the measure for every $K \subset X^{-1/2-}$ closed set, since closed sets generate the topological σ-algebra of $X^{-1/2-}$.

For a fixed $K \subset X^{-1/2-}$ closed set, let us define for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the open set

$$K_\varepsilon = \{u \in X^{-1/2-} | \exists v \in K : d(u, v) < \varepsilon\}.$$

Continuity of $\psi(t)$ on $X^{-1/2-}$ (see Lemma 2.13 and Proposition 3.7) imply that $\psi(t)^{-1}(K_\varepsilon)$ is open. We decompose $K_\varepsilon = (K_\varepsilon \cap A(\Lambda) \cup (K_\varepsilon \cap A(\Lambda)^c)$ so that $K_\varepsilon \subset (K_\varepsilon \cap A(\Lambda)) \cup A(\Lambda)^c$, and use weak convergence of ρ_k towards ρ (Proposition 2.19) to write

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(\psi(t)^{-1}(K)) &\leq \rho(\psi(t)^{-1}(K_\varepsilon)) \\ &\leq \liminf_{k\to+\infty} \left(\rho_k(\psi(t)^{-1}(K_\varepsilon) \cap A(\Lambda)) + \rho_k A(\Lambda)^c\right). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

By construction $A(\Lambda)^c = \liminf_{j\to+\infty} A_j(\Lambda)$ and so $\rho_k(A(\Lambda))^c \leq \liminf_{j\to+\infty} \rho_k(A_j(\Lambda)^c)$; this yields

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(\psi(t)^{-1}(K)) &\leq \liminf_{k\to+\infty} \liminf_{j\to+\infty} \left(\rho_k(\psi(t)^{-1}(K_\varepsilon) \cap A(\Lambda)) + \rho_k(A_j(\Lambda)^c)\right) \\ &\leq \liminf_{k\to+\infty} \left(\rho_k(\psi(t)^{-1}(K_\varepsilon) \cap A(\Lambda)) + \rho_k(A_k(\Lambda)^c)\right)\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we have used that $\liminf_{k\to+\infty} \liminf_{j\to+\infty} P_{j,k} \leq \liminf_{k\to+\infty} P_{k,k}$. We deal separately with the two terms above. First of all, we use Lemma 5.1 to estimate

$$\rho_k(A_k(\Lambda)^c) \leq \frac{C}{\Lambda^{8\gamma}} \tag{17}$$

(notice that the bound is independent on k). For the other term, we rely on the uniform convergence of ψ_k towards ψ in $A(\Lambda)$, namely on point 2 of Proposition 4.4 which we recall to state that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \geq k_0$ and all $u_0 \in A(\Lambda)$, $d(\psi(t)u_0, \psi_k(t)u_0) \leq \varepsilon$. Using this fact, we have that for all $u \in \psi(t)^{-1}(K_\varepsilon \cap A(\Lambda))$ and all $k \geq k_0$ there exists $\tilde{u} \in K$ such that $d(\psi(t)u, \tilde{u}) < \varepsilon$ and

$$d(\psi_k(t)u, \tilde{u}) \leq d(\psi_k(t)u, \psi(t)u) + d(\psi(t)u, \tilde{u}) < 2\varepsilon,$$

which means that, for all $k \geq k_0$,

$$\psi(t)^{-1}(K_\varepsilon) \cap A(\Lambda) \subset \psi_k(t)^{-1}(K_{2\varepsilon}).$$

This provides for the second term in (16) the estimate

$$\rho_k(\psi(t)^{-1}(K_\varepsilon) \cap A(\Lambda)) \leq \rho_k(\psi_k(t)^{-1}(K_{2\varepsilon})). \tag{18}$$

Plugging (17) and (18) into (16) and using invariance of ρ_k under the flow ψ_k (Proposition 2.22) yields

$$\rho(\psi(t)^{-1}(K)) \leq \liminf_{k\to+\infty} \rho_k(\psi_k(t)^{-1}(K_{2\varepsilon})) + \frac{C}{\Lambda^{8\gamma}} \leq \liminf_{k\to+\infty} \rho_k(K_{2\varepsilon}) + \frac{C}{\Lambda^8\gamma}$$

We now use that $\liminf \leq \limsup$ and that $K_{2\varepsilon} \subset \overline{K_{2\varepsilon}}$ to estimate further with

$$\rho(\psi(t)^{-1}(K)) \leq \limsup_{k\to+\infty} \rho_k(\overline{K_{2\varepsilon}}) + \frac{C}{\Lambda^{8\gamma}}.$$

Since $\overline{K_{2\varepsilon}} = \{u \in X^{-1/2-} | d(u, K) \leq 2\varepsilon\}$ is closed we have again, by weak convergence of ρ_k, that $\limsup_{k\to+\infty} \rho_k(\overline{K_{2\varepsilon}}) \leq \rho(\overline{K_{2\varepsilon}})$. Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$, dominated convergence theorem gives

$$\rho(\psi(t)^{-1}(K)) \leq \rho(K) + \frac{C}{\Lambda^{8\gamma}};$$

letting also $\Lambda \to +\infty$ finally gives

$$\rho(\psi(t)^{-1}(K)) \leq \rho(K).$$

On the other hand, the continuity and reversibility of the flow $\psi(t)$ on $X^{-1/2-}$ imply

$$\rho(K) \leq \rho(\psi(-t)^{-1}\psi(t)^{-1}(K)) \leq \rho(\psi(t)^{-1}(K))$$

which concludes the invariance for the measure ρ on closed sets of $X^{-1/2-}$. Since closed sets generate the topological σ-algebra of $X^{-1/2-}$ the proof is concluded. □

A Finite propagation speed

In this appendix, we prove the property related to finite propagation speed that we used throughout the paper. It gives estimates on the influence that have different parts of the initial datum u_0 on the restricted $1_{|x|\leq R}L(t)u_0(x)$ at some fixed time t.

Proposition A.1. *Let $R \geq 0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^*$ and $T \geq |t|$ and $p \geq 1$, for all f we have*

$$\|1_{|x|\leq R}L(t)\Pi_k f\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|1_{|x|\leq R}L(t)\Pi_k 1_{|y|\leq R+3M_kT} f(y)\|_{L^p} + \frac{1}{M_k^2 T}\sup_y \|f\|_{L^p([y-R,y+R])} .$$

Proof. First, we prove that for $|z| \geq 3M_kT$ with $T \geq |t|$, we have

$$|K_t(z)| \leq C_\eta \frac{1}{M_k}\frac{1}{z^2} .$$

By definition, we have

$$K_t(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{in^2t+inz}\eta_k(n)dn = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (2int+iz)e^{in^2t+inz}\frac{\eta_k(n)}{2int+iz}dn$$

where $\eta_k(n) = \eta\left(\frac{n}{M_k}\right)$. Since $|z| \geq 3M_kT$, we have $|2int+iz| \geq \frac{1}{3}|z| \geq 3M_kT > 0$. Let $f(n) = \frac{\eta_k(n)}{2int+iz}$. the function f is C^∞ with compact support hence by integration by part

$$K_t(z) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{in^2t+inz} f'(n)dn .$$

With a second integration by parts, we have

$$K_t(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{in^2t+inz} g'(n)dn$$

with $g(n) = \frac{f'(n)}{-2int+iz}$. Hence as the support of g' is included in $[-M_k, M_k]$,

$$|K_t(z)| \leq 2M_k\|g'\|_{L^\infty} .$$

By definition of g, we have

$$g'(n) = \frac{\eta_k''(n)}{(2int+iz)^2} + \frac{6it\eta_k'}{(2int+iz)^3} + \frac{3(2it)^2}{(2int+iz)^4}$$

therefore

$$|g'(n)| \lesssim \frac{\|\eta_k''\|_{L^\infty}}{|z|^2} + \frac{\|\eta_k'\|_{L^\infty}|t|}{|z|^3} + \frac{\|\eta_k\|_{L^\infty}t^2}{z^4} \ .$$

By definition $\eta_k' = \frac{1}{M_k}\eta'$ and $\frac{|t|}{|z|} \lesssim \frac{1}{M_k}$, which yields

$$\|g'\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_\eta \frac{1}{M_k^2|z|^2} \ .$$

We get

$$|K_t(z)| \leq C_\eta \frac{1}{M_k|z|^2} \ .$$

By definition, we have

$$1_{|x|\leq R}L(t)f(x) = 1_{|x|\leq R}K_t * f(x)$$

that we divide in two parts

$$1_{|x|\leq R}L(t)f(x) = I + II$$

with

$$I = 1_{|x|\leq R}\int K_t(y)1_{|x-y|\leq R+3M_kT}f(x-y)dy$$

and

$$II = 1_{|x|\leq R}\int K_t(y)1_{|x-y|\geq R+3M_kT}f(x-y)dy \ .$$

We have

$$\|I\|_{L^p} = \|1_{|x|\leq R}L(t)\Pi_k 1_{|y|\leq R+3M_kT}f(y)\|_{L^p}$$

which is the first part of the estimate.

For II, we use that if $|x| \leq R$ and $|x-y| \geq R+3M_kT$, then $|y| \geq 3M_kT$. Hence,

$$II = 1_{|x|\leq R}\int 1_{|y|\geq 3M_kT}K_t(y)1_{|x-y|\geq R+3M_kT}f(x-y)dy \ .$$

By taking its L^p norm, we get

$$\|II\|_{L^p} \leq \|1_{|y|\geq 3M_kT}K_t(y)\|_{L^1}\sup_y \|1_{|x-y|\geq R+3M_kT}f(x-y)\|_{L^p(x\in[-R,R])} \ .$$

Using the estimates on $K_t(z)$ we finally get

$$\|II\| \lesssim \frac{1}{M_k^2T}\sup_y \|f\|_{L^p([y-R,y+R])} \ .$$

□

References

[1] J. Bourgain, *Periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equation and invariant measures*, Comm. Math. Phys. **166** (1994), no. 1, 1–26.

[2] ———, *Invariant measures for NLS in infinite volume*, Comm. Math. Phys. **210** (2000), no. 3, 605–620.

[3] N. Burq, P. Gérard, and N. Tzvetkov, *Strichartz inequalities and the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on compact manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **126** (2004), no. 3, 569–605.

[4] N. Burq, L. Thomann, and N. Tzvetkov, *Long time dynamics for the one dimensional non linear Schrödinger equation*, ArXiv e-prints (2010).

[5] A.-S. de Suzzoni, *Invariant measure for the Klein-Gordon equation in a non periodic setting*, ArXiv e-prints (2014).

[6] X. Fernique, *Régularité des trajectoires des fonctions aléatoires gaussiennes*, Ecole d'été St. Flour.

[7] H. P. McKean and K. L. Vaninsky, *Statistical mechanics of nonlinear wave equations*, Stochastic analysis (Ithaca, NY, 1993), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 57, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995, pp. 457–463.

[8] P. E. Zhidkov, *On invariant measures for some infinite-dimensional dynamical systems*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor. **62** (1995), no. 3, 267–287.